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## FOREWORD

The Macroeconomic Report on Latin America and the Caribbean is a new ECLAC publication designed to meet the need for up-to-date analysis of the region's macroeconomic performance amid shifting conditions. The Macroeconomic Report thus complements the Economic Survey of Latin America and the Caribbean and the Preliminary Overview of the Economies of Latin America and the Caribbean, which ECLAC will continue to publish yearly along with other regular macroeconomic reports. With this publication, ECLAC aims to make the results of its integrated informative and analytical approach available to public institutions, the media, academia, private analysts and the broader public, covering salient aspects of current conditions as well as structural traits of the region's macroeconomic development.

This report includes country notes which examine the economies of all the countries of Latin America and the Caribbean in 2011 and the first few months of 2012. These notes are available on the ECLAC website at www.cepal.org.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Growing uncertainty and turmoil

The first quarter of 2012 unfolded against an international backdrop clouded by uncertainty over euro zone risk factors, a slowing Chinese economy, precarious growth in the United States and the potential for geopolitical conflict that could halt the flow of oil and send oil prices skyrocketing. These months have seen some lessening of the euro zone risks thanks to European Central Bank refinancing operations starting in late 2011 and an initial stabilization programme negotiated with the Government of Greece. But external risks began to heighten towards the end of the first quarter of 2012 as euro zone concerns were fed by further fiscal and financial challenges emerging in Greece and Spain.

## Easing of the slowdown seen in the second half of 2011

Despite this scenario, the first quarter of 2012 brought a break in -and a partial reversal of- the economic slowdown in Latin America and the Caribbean. Compared with the same period in 2011, the rate of growth was up sharply in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Chile and Peru and slightly in Mexico. There was a break in the cooling trend seen in the Brazilian economy in 2011. Growth was slower in the first quarter of 2012 than in early 2011 in Argentina, Colombia and Guatemala, but only Paraguay saw negative growth because of a drought that hurt soybean output. Available data for the countries of the Caribbean indicate that their late recovery from the 2008-2009 crisis, which began to show in the form of modest rates of growth in 2011, gathered momentum in the first quarter of 2012.

## The region's growth was driven by rising domestic demand

The main driver of growth was domestic demand, not external demand. Brisker trade activity in most of the countries of the region in the first quarter of 2012 suggests that private consumption remained buoyant (it was already behind most GDP growth in the region in 2011) on the strength of rising employment and real wages, continuing expansion of lending to the private sector and, in some countries, growing remittances, chiefly from the United States. In addition to robust consumption, some countries saw a surge in investment that was reflected in an uptick in construction and in machinery and equipment imports. Exports, which bore more of the brunt of the global economic slowdown, were a lesser factor.

## Latin America and the Caribbean will post 3.7\% growth in 2012

Given this context and assuming that slower growth worldwide does not touch off a crisis, ECLAC estimates that Latin America and the Caribbean will post $3.7 \%$ growth in 2012. The main assumptions for the 2012 base case scenario on which this estimate is based are that the United States economy will continue to see positive, albeit slow, growth and that the European Union financial and economic crisis will be contained, although it will worsen in 2012 as GDP shrinks in a number of countries (already seen in the first quarter of 2012). It will not spark contagion in key countries (Italy and Spain); a global financial crisis will be averted. The base case scenario also assumes that China's economy will continue the cooldown already reflected in growth figures for the first quarter and that Japan's economy will pick up speed, largely owing to rebuilding in the areas hit by the 2011 earthquake and reestablishment of production linkages that had been disrupted.

The subregion made up of Central America, the Dominican Republic and Haiti is expected to post 4.5\% growth, especially because Panama and Haiti will continue to see the fastest GDP growth in the region, followed by the Dominican Republic (see figure 20). Countries that are more financially integrated in the global economy (Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico and Peru) will grow at an annual rate of $4.4 \%$, with partial resumption of growth in Brazil (2.7\%) being outpaced by Peru (5.7\%), Chile (4.9\%), Colombia ( $4.5 \%$ ) and Mexico ( $4.0 \%$ ). Following them and growing at an average of $4.1 \%$ will be the hydrocarbon-exporting countries (Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador, Plurinational State of Bolivia and Trinidad and Tobago), boosted by high fuel prices. The countries of the English-speaking Caribbean, not including Trinidad and Tobago, are expected to continue this subregion's upward growth trend. The agribusiness-product-exporting countries of South America (Argentina, Uruguay and Paraguay) will see slower growth ( $1.8 \%$, on average) due to slackening economic activity in Argentina and Uruguay and, above all, economic contraction in Paraguay.

## Employment and wages continue to rise in the region

In most of the countries of the region employment and wages continued to rise during the opening months of 2012; this trend is expected to hold through the remainder of the year. For the countries as a whole, unemployment fell by 0.5 percentage points over the same period in 2011 , to $6.9 \%$, with wage employment and jobs covered by social security rising and average real wages in the formal sector maintaining their upward trend.

Based on these factors combined, the region's employment rate is expected to increase by between 0.3 percentage points and 0.4 percentage points in 2012. Depending on labour market participation trends, this would bring the urban unemployment rate for the region as a whole down by some 0.2 percentage points to a new record low of $6.5 \%$. With relatively low unemployment rates and an overall gradual easing of inflation, wages should continue to rise in real terms at a generally modest pace.

## Foreign trade growth slowed while tourism and remittances rebounded

The region's foreign trade figures for the first quarter of 2012 were more uneven than other variables, owing to the faltering price of some commodities and more sluggish (or even contracting) exports of manufactured goods owing to weak demand in Europe, the United States and China. Remittances continued to rise in the countries of Latin America and the Caribbean with a higher proportion of migrants in the United States. Tourism was up slightly, more markedly so in a number of Central American and Caribbean countries.

The sluggish global economic growth forecast for 2012 will mean that the region's international trade, while continuing to expand, will do so at a significantly slower rate than in 2011. With domestic growth outpacing external growth and a general worsening of the terms of trade, imports to Latin America are expected to climb by $10.2 \%$ during the year, outstripping a $6.3 \%$ rise in exports. As a result, the trade surplus would go from 1.3\% of GDP in 2011 to just $0.7 \%$ of GDP in 2012.

While services trade is expected to remain stable and remittances are forecast to rise by nearly $7 \%$ for the region as a whole, this would not be enough to offset the trade surplus decline and would therefore push the current-account deficit up to $1.7 \%$ of GDP ( $1.2 \%$ in 2011). The hydrocarbon-exporting countries will be the only ones to see a current-account surplus, thanks to rising prices for oil and oil products.

## Most of the countries saw no fiscal account improvement

In the closing months of 2011 and the opening months of 2012, the trend towards fiscal account improvement was not evident in most of the countries of the region. Fiscal revenue grew during the first quarter of 2012 but at a slower pace than in the past. Spending grew at a faster clip in some countries, above all in Argentina, Colombia and Uruguay. However, in recent months some countries (Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala and Peru) approved tax reforms geared towards increasing tax revenues; in others (Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica and Paraguay) the executive branch sent tax reform bills to the legislative branch for approval in coming months.

If the base case scenario materializes, no major shifts in fiscal policy stance are expected in 2012. Slower economic activity than in recent years could dampen fiscal revenue performance, especially in countries that are more dependent on commodity exports as a source of revenue. But commodity price projections (higher for oil than for food and metals) point to a surge in revenue for hydrocarbon-exporting countries and flat or slightly declining revenue for countries specializing in minerals and agricultural products.

In view of these trends, coupled with heterogeneous spending patterns among the countries during the first few months of the year, the annual fiscal balance is expected to be lower than in 2011. The region's economy is therefore expected to slow, with a slightly higher public-debt-to-GDP ratio in 2012.

## Inflation eased, and currency-market interventions intensified

Over the past few months Latin America and the Caribbean continued to freely tap the international capital markets by means of private, sovereign and bank bond placements. However, the monetary authorities of several countries in the region faced a dilemma as external demand faltered and capital inflows pushed exchange rates up. Growth prospects and lower risk brought external funds flooding into the economies of the region; this, combined with high export prices in some cases, fed currency appreciation trends, especially in countries that are more integrated financially (Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico and Peru).

In this setting, major monetary policy interest rate changes were avoided in Chile, Mexico and Peru. The policy rate was lowered in Brazil in response to a sharp slowdown of the economy; in Colombia it was raised in response to heightening inflationary pressures. This group of countries also built up reserves in keeping with more forceful currency-market intervention policies seeking exchange rates that, while flexible, would not be subject to extreme volatility and would stabilize measures implemented to spur the production of exportable and tradable goods.

Among the agribusiness-product-exporting countries of South America, the strongest inflationary pressures were in Argentina and Uruguay. Uruguay raised its monetary policy rate; Paraguay lowered it in response to slackening economic activity. Argentina held the exchange rate stable as an anti-inflationary measure and raised reserve levels slightly in the first quarter of 2012. Meanwhile, Uruguay's reserves recorded more substantial growth in line with the goal of addressing appreciation pressures. Reserves declined in Paraguay, where the exchange rate depreciated.

Inflation was higher in most of the hydrocarbon-exporting countries (Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador, Plurinational State of Bolivia, and Trinidad and Tobago) than in the rest of the region -near or well into the double digits in some cases as nominal exchange rate stability combined with real currency appreciation and a build-up of reserves on the strength of higher prices for hydrocarbon
exports. The Central American and Caribbean countries (except for Trinidad and Tobago) that are net food importers benefited from falling food prices. There were no monetary policy rate changes during the quarter, with the exception of Honduras, although many of these countries did resort to controlling monetary aggregates, not interest rates, as a primary monetary policy tool. There were no wide exchange rate swings either; international reserves were adjusted to ensure exchange-rate stability.

External uncertainty over what could happen in the euro zone, slower growth in China and precarious growth in the United States will continue to shape monetary and exchange-rate policy. Heightened global market uncertainty is likely to be reflected in greater currency price volatility and to reverse, at least temporarily, the 2011 trend towards nominal appreciation. As such, although appreciation was the prevailing trend during the first quarter of 2011, the economies of Brazil, Chile, Colombia and Mexico saw their currencies depreciate between mid-March and late May 2012. In a global recessionary environment, with currencies that appreciated in recent years and moderate rates of inflation, there is some space for less restrictive monetary policy. Some strengthening of micro- and macroprudential policy is also likely, especially given the prospect of mounting international financial volatility; measures introduced in recent months have included stricter regulation of foreign-currency deposits, higher capital reserve requirements for financial institutions, restrictions on the issuance of negotiable securities and other measures linked to mortgage finance.

## An adverse external scenario will call for a policy shift

A worse-than-expected scenario coupled with a euro zone crisis and contagion spreading to larger economies requires assessing the situation and weighing policy response options. Such a scenario, which ECLAC regards as less likely, would see a flight to quality or safety that could halt financial flows to the region and cut off foreign lines of credit. The crisis in Europe would drag down both commodity prices and export volume in general (to Europe, the United States and Asia). Public revenues would drop in commodity-exporting countries where they are a source of fiscal revenue and in net importers (especially Central America and the Caribbean) as the declining value of their imports took a toll on the sales and import tax take. Domestic and foreign investment levels would suffer as well.

To varying degrees, the countries of Latin America and the Caribbean have an array of policy instruments at their disposal to address such a scenario. The substantial build-up of reserves in most of the countries of the region would make it possible to meet the demand for liquidity in foreign currency, and the experience gained in the 2008 crisis provides grounds for assuming that the central banks are in a position to provide liquidity in domestic currency, too, if needed. There is also the possibility of turning again to liquidity swap lines with the United States Federal Reserve System; at the height of the 2008 crisis, this helped meet foreign currency liquidity needs in key countries in the region (Brazil, Colombia and México) and stabilize expectations towards a more moderate perception of the crisis.

The domestic and external solvency position of the countries of the region is such that they would, to a greater or lesser extent, be able to take countercyclical fiscal policy action, strengthen social protection networks and deploy emergency job creation programmes. Fiscal space has not been fully recovered in comparison with the situation prior to 2008-2009, but greater public and external finance solvency can be seen, in a number of cases, in falling public-debt and total-external-debt-to-GDP ratios, although several countries of the Caribbean have been unable to bring down their still-high level of indebtedness. Moreover, regional and subregional financial institutions can facilitate gradual fiscal adjustment in countries that need it, and they can activate mechanisms similar to those used during the 2008 crisis that, among other things, cushioned the impact for smaller businesses and thus helped prevent a worsening of unemployment.

## A. THE EXTERNAL CONTEXT

## Growing uncertainty and turmoil

Three powerful forces have shaped the external environment so far in 2012. The first involves the euro zone, where delay in agreeing on a solution for the financial and sovereign debt crisis had already heightened uncertainty in 2011 and led several countries to resort to fiscal contraction as the primary tool for rebuilding sovereign debt sustainability and addressing the crisis in the short term. The signing of stabilization programme agreements for Ireland and Portugal helped reduce their country risk; renegotiating Greece's debt had a similar effect in the first quarter of 2012. But uncertainty coupled with fiscal contraction made it even harder for banks to deleverage and led to an over-contraction of credit, setting off a vicious recessionary spiral. As a result, risk premiums continued to climb in other endangered countries like Spain and Italy. Even France and Germany were affected to the point that their sovereign risk premiums also started to edge up.

Figure 1
EUROPE (SELECTED COUNTRIES): FIVE-YEAR CREDIT DEFAULT SWAP RISK PREMIUMS, 2009-2012
(Basis points)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from Bloomberg.

In December 2011 the European Central Bank, under new management, rolled out a major longterm (three years) repo operation and eased collateral requirements. This meant greater liquidity for eurozone banks, enabling them to improve portfolio quality by taking in liquid resources and pledging riskier illiquid assets -that is, euro zone and other government debt- as collateral. In the first quarter of 2012, these measures checked the liquidity crisis sweeping the financial systems and stabilized the value of sovereign debts, at least temporarily. They also lifted the cloud of uncertainty a bit and partially curbed the rise of risk premiums.

Although the operation was geared towards addressing bank liquidity problems, unlike similar programmes deployed in Japan, the United Kingdom and the United States, in practice it did not directly address the liquidity crunch arising from sovereign debt issues. Against a backdrop of slow growth and
recession in some countries, the climbing public deficit has fed funding requirements that have, so far, been covered by a private banking sector that is increasingly reluctant to lend. Because the European Central Bank is not empowered by law to directly fund country deficits, the system has no lender of last resort. This has heightened the uncertainty as to how the public deficit is to be funded (especially in Italy and Spain), which was subsequently compounded by issues concerning the sustainability of the Greek stabilization programme and the bank solvency programme in Spain. Throughout the year the situation in Europe will continue to be the biggest source of external risk for the global economy and for Latin America and the Caribbean. Prospects for growth are more likely to sour than improve.

The first quarter of 2012 brought a second significant development: renewed signs that the United States economy has started on the road to recovery in response to liquidity programmes that have to some extent shored up domestic demand and employment. As a result, growth is now expected to be $2.1 \%$ for 2012. Despite these promising changes, the long climb out of the financial system crisis and the resulting slowdown in lending and demand has been hampered by the lack of agreement on how to limit and deal with government borrowing. This could trigger automatic fiscal adjustment mechanisms in January 2013 that would hurt prospects for growth.

The third factor weighing on the global economy is slackening growth in China, forecast at $8.3 \%$ for 2012 (versus $9.2 \%$ in 2011). Sluggish developed economies have meant lower demand for Chinese exports, and China is facing its own real estate market, financial system and local government funding issues. India will also see less brisk growth: $6.7 \%$, versus $7.1 \%$ in 2011. Japan is forecast to post $1.7 \%$ growth in 2012 after its GDP shrank by $0.7 \%$ in 2011 in the aftermath of the earthquake. In sum, as figure 2 shows, the global economy is forecast to grow by $2.6 \%$, with the developing countries expanding by $5.6 \%$ and growth in the developed countries holding at $1.3 \%$.

Figure 2
GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT: GROWTH RATES (BY REGION), 2009-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)


[^0]Two risks could still materialize in the second half of 2012. In addition to the situation in the euro zone, the second threat is the potential for rising oil prices if geopolitical instability in the Middle East worsens. As for the primary source of risk in the euro zone, there are two scenarios that could unfold during the remainder of the year. The first, used to project growth in the region for 2012 and 2013, assumes implementation of a solution combining greater fiscal activism with an orderly resolution of the Greek crisis. Even if Greece were to default and be forced out of the euro zone, the region's more influential countries would act to control the consequences in order to avoid failure of their banks exposed to Greece and the cost this would entail for European Central Bank (which indirectly holds a portion of Greek debt). And slow but sure progress is expected on a recovery programme for Italy and Spain, grounded in greater fiscal activism. Growth would be slow at first, but with prospects for expansion.

The second scenario, which is less likely, would be one of contagion. Greece would opt for default, and the consequences would be so serious as to imperil the solvency of some banks in other euro zone countries and make Italian and Spanish debt less sustainable. The section on outlook examines the potential impact of these scenarios on Latin America and the Caribbean.

## B. THE PERFORMANCE OF THE REGION'S ECONOMIES

## The first quarter of 2012 brought an easing of the economic slowdown posted by the region in the second half of 2012

The gross domestic product of Latin America and the Caribbean expanded by 4.3\% in 2011. Despite this, most of the countries saw slower growth during the year, first in a group of countries where growth already slumped in the first half (Brazil, the Dominican Republic, Mexico and Paraguay) and then in others (Argentina, Chile, Ecuador and Panama) where growth was brisk in the first half of 2011 but faltered in the second half. The result was an overall slowdown in economic activity during the second half of the year.

The downtrend partially reversed in the first quarter of 2012. Growth in Mexico picked up slightly ( $4.6 \%$ in the first quarter) compared with the first quarter of de 2011 . The $1.1 \%$ posted by Brazil halted the economic contraction recorded in 2011 (see table 1). The pace of growth was up substantially in Costa Rica ( $8.0 \%$ ), Peru ( $6.0 \%$ ) and Chile and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (both with 5.6\%). Argentina ( $4.8 \%$ ), Colombia ( $4.5 \%$ ) and Guatemala (3.3\%) posted slower rates; Paraguay was the only one to see negative growth in the first quarter of 2012. ${ }^{1}$ The available data for the countries of the Caribbean suggest that their late recovery from the 2008-2009 crisis began to show in slow growth in 2011 and an upturn in the first quarter of 2012.

[^1]Table 1
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): INDEX OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY
(Quarterly year-on-year GDP variation)

|  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Argentina | 9.9 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 4.8 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 5.7 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.1 |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.9 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 5.6 |
| Costa Rica | 2.0 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 8.0 |
| Guatemala | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 3.3 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.8 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.6 |
| Panama | 6.9 | 9.1 | 7.7 | 10.3 | 9.2 |
| Paraguay | 5.6 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | -3.1 |
| Peru ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.6 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.8 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.6 |
| Latin America ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.5 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.3 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Quarterly GDP variation.
b Weighted average for the selected countries, which account for some $88 \%$ of the region's GDP expressed in 2005 dollars.

## Growth was driven by rising domestic demand

The service sector (especially commerce) was one of the most buoyant in the first quarter of 2012, as it was in 2011 (see table 2). Except for Argentina and Honduras, where this indicator slowed, most countries saw rates of variation similar to and in some cases even higher than in 2011. This suggests that private consumption remained strong; it accounted for most of the region's GDP growth in 2011 thanks to rising real wages (that extended through the first quarter of 2012), a sustained expansion of lending to the private sector and, in some countries, an increase in remittances (primarily from the United States).

Construction, which is a partial indicator of investment trends, turned in a mixed but mainly good performance. During the first quarter of 2012 construction was up sharply in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Peru. The variation rates for Costa Rica and Honduras point to a recovery from the downturn of the first half of 2011; construction activity in Mexico was as brisk as in the previous three quarters (see table 3).

Construction activity slowed in Argentina and Nicaragua but, as seen in the investment figures, performed solidly in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Chile. During the first quarter of 2012, gross fixed capital formation was up by $8.3 \%$ in Chile and $27.3 \%$ in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela compared with the same period in 2011. Gross fixed capital formation also rose in Mexico ( $10.2 \%)^{2}$ and Peru ( $16.5 \%$ ) In Mexico, investment was driven by rising outlays for imported machinery and equipment. A large part of the robust activity in Peru was due to the $36.5 \%$ increase in public investment during the

[^2]first quarter of 2012. During the same period, capital goods imports jumped substantially in other countries, such as the Plurinational State of Bolivia (33.8\%) Nicaragua (23.6\%), Costa Rica (22.5\%) and Ecuador ( $17.5 \%$ ), suggesting that rising investment levels accounted for much of the growth in these countries, as well as in Chile and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.

Table 2

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): INDEX OF COMMERCE SECTOR ACTIVITY ${ }^{\text {a }}$

(Quarterly year-on-year variation)

|  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Argentina | 22.0 | 17.4 | 19.0 | 18.2 | 12.0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 4.0 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 3.4 |
| Brazil | 6.8 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 10.0 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 22.7 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 8.3 |
| Colombia | 13.2 | 15.1 | 9.7 | 5.2 | 6.0 |
| Costa Rica | 4.8 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 4.8 |
| El Salvador | 11.0 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 3.4 |
| Honduras | 6.2 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 5.0 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7.7 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 6.4 |
| Nicaragua | 9.9 | 9.1 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 10.5 |
| Peru | 10.3 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 7.9 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.6 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 4.4 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Data on commerce or retail sales sector activity, depending on data availability.
b Quarterly national accounts figures.

Table 3

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES):

 INDEX OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY(Quarterly year-on-year variation)

|  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Argentina | 9.8 | 11.2 | 10.4 | 4.1 | 3.5 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 8.1 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 11.5 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.4 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 11.7 | 9.5 |
| Costa Rica | -3.7 | -2.5 | -0.1 | 2.4 | 4.3 |
| El Salvador | 12.5 | 21.5 | 25.2 | -1.5 | 1.9 |
| Honduras | -10.1 | -5.1 | 13.1 | 30.8 | 13.2 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.8 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| Nicaragua | 19.3 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 12.9 | -1.1 |
| Peru | 8.1 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 12.5 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -6.8 | -1.8 | 10.9 | 12.8 | 29.6 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Quarterly national accounts figures.

The industrial sector did not fare as well in the first quarter of 2012, reflecting the precarious nature of the recovery. Argentina, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Colombia saw a marked slowdown in industrial output, which in Brazil, Peru and Uruguay was also lower than in the first quarter of 2011. In Costa Rica, Mexico and Nicaragua the pace of expansion picked up in comparison with the closing months of 2011 (see table 4), ${ }^{3}$ owing, perhaps, to the upturn in demand in the United States market.

Table 4

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): INDEX OF INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY

|  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Argentina | 9.3 | 8.4 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 2.3 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 2.3 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 4.5 |
| Brazil | 2.7 | 0.5 | -0.1 | -2.3 | -3.1 |
| Chile | 14.4 | 7.5 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 3.7 |
| Colombia | 5.9 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 1.1 |
| Costa Rica | -3.3 | 2.0 | 7.5 | 9.3 | 15.0 |
| El Salvador | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| Mexico | 5.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 4.6 |
| Nicaragua | 6.6 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 7.6 | 6.2 |
| Peru | 12.1 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 1.0 | -0.9 |
| Uruguay | 6.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | -11.8 | -4.5 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.4 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 0.7 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Quarterly national accounts figures.

The mixed performance of industrial output indices is also reflected in the available data on installed capacity use. In the first quarter of 2012, use rose in Argentina and, to a lesser degree, in Mexico, while Brazil and Peru posted decreases over the previous quarter and over the first quarter of 2011 (see figure 3).

The contribution of goods exports to growth in the region during the first quarter of 2012 varied from country o country. As discussed below, goods export growth in Argentina (9\%), Chile (6.5\%) and Peru ( $15.4 \%$ ) outpaced the global economy. In the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Brazil, export volume remained virtually unchanged during the first quarter of 2012, meaning that domestic demand was the main driver of these economies.

[^3]Figure 3

## LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES): LEVEL OF INSTALLED CAPACITY USE

(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

## Inflation continued to trend down

Throughout the first quarter of 2012 inflation in most of the countries of the region stayed on the downward path it took in the final quarter of 2011. In Latin America and the Caribbean overall, cumulative 12-month inflation to April $2012^{4}$ was $5.5 \%$, compared with $6.7 \%$ and $7 \%$ as of March and December 2011, respectively (see table 5).

Through the third quarter of 2011, rising international food and oil prices, coupled with short supply caused by bad weather in some countries, impacted domestic prices. ${ }^{5}$ Core inflation was already up in a number of countries of the region, driven by three factors: the transfer of higher food and fuel prices to the price of other products, the continuing strength of domestic demand and the rising price of regulated services and services whose price variations are indexed to some degree (see figure 4).

The uptrend began to turn in September 2011 as international food prices started to fall. The main beneficiaries were the net food importing countries, although in some cases the effect of declining domestic prices was dampened by depreciating national currencies -the opposite of what happened in the recent past. ${ }^{6}$

[^4]Table 5

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX AND FOOD PRICE INDEX, 12-MONTH VARIATION, SIMPLE AVERAGE

|  | Cumulative 12-month inflation to April 2011 |  | Cumulative 12-month inflation to April 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Overall CPI | CPI, food and beverages | Overall CPI | CPI, food and beverages |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 6.7 | 8.3 | 5.5 | 6.7 |
| South America | 8.2 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 8.4 |
| Argentina | 9.7 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 10.3 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 11.0 | 16.7 | 4.2 | 3.2 |
| Brazil | 6.5 | 7.8 | 5.1 | 6.2 |
| Chile | 3.2 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 8.2 |
| Colombia | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 5.0 |
| Ecuador | 3.9 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 6.5 |
| Paraguay | 9.1 | 15.6 | 3.3 | -1.7 |
| Peru | 3.3 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 6.0 |
| Uruguay | 8.3 | 11.1 | 8.0 | 8.7 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 23.9 | 19.4 | 23.6 | 31.8 |
| Central America and Mexico | 6.3 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 5.6 |
| Costa Rica | 4.7 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| Dominican Republic | 8.2 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 5.1 |
| El Salvador | 6.0 | 9.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 |
| Guatemala | 5.8 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 8.5 |
| Haiti | 7.9 | 9.4 | $5.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Honduras | 7.3 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 3.2 |
| Nicaragua | 7.6 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 11.2 |
| Panama | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 7.9 |
| Mexico | 3.4 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 4.4 |
| The Caribbean | 5.9 | 7.6 | 4.7 | 6.1 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 2.8 | 6.2 | $3.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Bahamas | 3.0 | 2.2 | $2.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $2.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Barbados | 7.9 | 5.8 | $9.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $10.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Belize | $3.8{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $4.2{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $2.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Dominica | 2.1 | -0.8 | $3.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $4.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Grenada | 2.3 | 4.1 | $3.0{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $4.8{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Guyana | 6.1 | 11.1 | $3.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Jamaica | 7.9 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 9.9 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 7.1 | 9.2 | $1.6{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $-0.3{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | 1.8 | 0.4 | $4.2{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $5.5{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 1.6 | 2.7 | $4.6{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $5.2{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Suriname | 21.2 | 24.4 | $6.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $5.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 9.4 | 21.3 | $9.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $20.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a March 2012 - March 2011.
b December 2011 - December 2010.
c February 2012 - February 2011.
d February 2011 - February 2010.
e October 2011 - October 2010.
f November 2011 - November 2010.

Figure 4

## LATIN AMERICA: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX AND 12-MONTH INFLATION BY COMPONENT, SIMPLE AVERAGE, 2007-2012

(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Data to April 2012 show that while food prices in all of the countries continued to outpace the global consumer price index, they did so at a slower rate than in April 2011 (see figure 5 and table 5). Fuel prices followed a similar pattern. Core inflation started to trend down in December 2011 and continued to decline in the first few months of 2012, due in part to sluggish domestic demand in the region in the fourth quarter of $2011 .{ }^{7}$

On average, inflation was highest in hydrocarbon-exporting countries and lowest in more financially-integrated countries (see figure 6). ${ }^{8}$ Two groups of countries with inflation nearing or topping $10 \%$ include hydrocarbon exporters (Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Trinidad and Tobago) and agribusiness-product exporters (Argentina), in addition to Barbados and Nicaragua, but inflation trended down in all of the groups.

[^5]Figure 5
LATIN AMERICA: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX, FOOD PRICE INDEX AND CORE INFLATION INDEX, 12-MONTH VARIATION, SIMPLE AVERAGE, 2009-2012
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Figure 6
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CONSUMER PRICE INDEX, 12-MONTH VARIATION, SIMPLE AVERAGE, 2007-2012
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

## Employment and wages continued to grow in most of the countries of the region

There are four conclusions to be drawn from the favourable trend in employment and wage indicators in a representative sample of the countries of the region during the first quarter of 2012. Employment continued to rise, the quality of employment improved, real wages grew and, as a result, consumption and domestic demand jumped. In sum, the positive labour trends of 2010 and 2011 remained in place.

For those countries of the region on which relevant information is available, the urban open unemployment rate fell from $7.3 \%$ to $6.7 \%$ thanks to a half-percentage-point gain in the urban employment rate (from $55.6 \%$ to $56.1 \%$ ). The labour market participation rate increased by 0.2 percentage points. The pattern varied among countries, ${ }^{9}$ reflecting positive but different rates of economic growth. Simple averages for groups of countries with similar production patterns show that unemployment dropped the most $(0.8 \%)$ in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Colombia and Ecuador, followed by Chile and Peru (down $0.7 \%$ ). In the States parties to MERCOSUR, unemployment was down $0.5 \%$. Mexico and the countries of Central America, where growth was slower in 2011, posted a $0.4 \%$ decline in unemployment. In the countries of the English-speaking Caribbean average unemployment remained relatively unchanged from the previous year, edging up by $0.2 \%$.

No unemployment data are available for Central America for the first quarter of 2012, and there is information for just eight South American countries, Jamaica and Mexico. ${ }^{10}$ In these 10 countries combined, both the urban employment rate and the urban unemployment rate showed the same positive trends in place since recovery from the 2008-2009 global economic and financial crisis started to take hold (see figure 7).

The drop in unemployment was general, except for Jamaica (which saw unemployment tick up again) and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (where it held steady). For the 10 countries as a group, the decline was 0.5 percentage points compared with the same period in 2011 , to $6.9 \%$. This improvement was slightly weaker than the 0.7 -percentage-point decline recorded in 2011.

The factors behind unemployment trends in these 10 countries were rising labour-market participation as the labour supply increased, and a higher employment rate as labour demand rose. The increase in labour supply (reflected in a 0.2 -percentage-point rise in the employment rate) was slightly above the long-term trend and could be read as the result of perceived better opportunities for labour market insertion. The urban employment rate was up 0.5 percentage points over the same period in 2011 -less than the surprisingly large 0.9-percentage-point year-on-year jump in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Employment and participation rate trends were uneven. The employment rate climbed in most of the 10 countries, most markedly in Colombia, Ecuador and Mexico, which posted increases in the area of one percentage point or more. But improving unemployment figures were not due to robust job creation in all of the countries. In Argentina, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Peru and Uruguay the employment rate remained well below first quarter 2011 levels because it was driven by a falling labour market participation rate, that is, a declining labour supply. And in Jamaica, despite an incipient return to economic growth in early 2012, the employment rate fell sharply and drove up unemployment.

[^6]Figure 7

## LATIN AMERICA (10 COUNTRIES): EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT RATES, FIRST QUARTER OF 2008 TO FIRST QUARTER OF 2012, FOUR-QUARTER MOVING AVERAGE

(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures. a Preliminary figures.

Favourable labour market trends in the region were also characterized by improving quality of employment. Most of the new jobs were wage jobs, and social-security protected employment rose. In most of the countries for which relevant information is available, time-related underemployment was down.

Employment composition continued to improve during the first quarter of 2012. Although data are available for just six countries, ${ }^{11}$ all of them (except Mexico) saw wage employment grow faster than own-account employment. This predominantly positive trend coincided with formal job creation, which rose at a robust year-on-year pace of $4 \%$ or more. Nevertheless, these figures are slightly lower than those posted in 2010 as the post-crisis recovery gathered momentum (see figure 8). ${ }^{12}$ In some countries of the region, particularly those that are in the Northern Hemisphere, year-on-year growth for the first quarter of 2012 even bested the average for 2011 (Costa Rica, Mexico and Nicaragua, in addition to Chile), most likely owing to the better growth scenario in the first quarter of 2012 in this group of countries compared with the rest. Formal job creation slowed in Brazil and Peru.

For those countries with information on underemployment, labour market trends were positive but heterogeneous. Time-related underemployment indicators improved in Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru and were unchanged in Chile. Mexico was the only country to record an increase. The wage-related underemployment rate improved in Brazil, Colombia and Peru.

[^7]Figure 8

## LATIN AMERICA (8 COUNTRIES): YEAR-ON-YEAR GROWTH IN EMPLOYMENT COVERED BY SOCIAL SECURITY, FOURTH QUARTER OF 2008 TO FIRST QUARTER OF 2012

(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
Another feature of the region's labour market situation was the continuing uptrend in real average wages in the formal sector in most of the countries for which information is available for the first quarter of 2012. Year-on-year increases topped 3.5\% in Argentina, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil and Uruguay and were approximately $2 \%$ in Chile and Costa Rica. Meanwhile, average real wages stayed virtually flat in Colombia (up $0.1 \%$ ), Mexico (up $0.4 \%$ ) and Nicaragua (down $0.3 \%$ ).

Favourable labour market trends in the region during the first quarter of 2012, both in terms of job creation (quantity and quality) and in terms of wages, suggest that the rising wage bill and other labour income boosted household consumption and, in turn, domestic demand. This could be a particularly significant source of growth in a year in which uncertainty as to where the global economy is headed could impact exports and investment.

## Global trade growth slowed, and the terms of trade worsened slightly

World export volume grew at a more moderate year-on-year pace of $5.9 \%$ in 2011 after soaring in 2010; this trend held for the first quarter of 2012. Exports from the euro zone and the emerging economies of Asia grew very slowly. Exports from the United States remained below pre-2008 crisis levels but performed better than in recent years. Other than a brief rally after the earthquake, Japanese exports felt the impact of lower global demand. The only exceptions to this picture were Africa, Latin America and the Middle East, where exports began to pick up in the second half of 2011 on the strength of sustained demand in the United States for raw materials, manufactured goods and other exports from the countries of Asia. Latin America's export volume posted year-on-year growth of $11.2 \%$ during the first three months of 2012.

The second half of 2011 saw export commodity prices decline across the board. Among the contributing factors were worsening prospects for global growth as the European debt crisis unfolded, questions as to the sustainability of economic growth in the United States and fears of a cooldown in the emerging economies. These downtrends reversed in early 2012 (and even earlier for oil) owing to brighter global growth expectations and specific events that influenced the supply of certain products (see figure 10).

Figure 9
YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION IN WORLD EXPORT VOLUME BY REGION, THREE-MONTH MOVING AVERAGE, 2008-2012
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from the Netherlands Bureau of Economic Policy Analysis (CPB).

Figure 10

## LATIN AMERICA: PRICE INDICES FOR EXPORT COMMODITIES ${ }^{\text {a }}$ AND

MANUFACTURED GOODS, 2009-2012
(Index: 2005=100, three-month moving average)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) and the Netherlands Bureau of Economic Policy Analysis (CPB).
a The commodity groups are weighted by their share of Latin American exports.

Food prices eased for the first time since mid-2011 thanks to rising global output of products like maize and sugar and a record wheat harvest, but they are still high. ${ }^{13}$ Coffee consumption continued to grow worldwide, but coffee prices for the first three months of 2012 were off by nearly $17 \%$ compared with the same period in 2010 amidst improving expectations for global output. While the price of soybeans dropped $8.4 \%$ compared with the first quarter of 2011 , bad weather led to a smaller-thanexpected harvest in Argentina, Brazil and Paraguay that will keep global prices for soybeans and soy products from falling at the same pace as most other agricultural commodities.

Metal prices have stabilized over the past few months after declining significantly in 2011. The price of copper was $13.8 \%$ lower in the first three months of 2012 than in the same period of 2011. Nickel, tin, lead and zinc prices were down $15 \%$ or more compared with the first quarter of 2011. The price of iron (Brazil's main export commodity and second only to copper as for the region as a whole) slid $13.7 \%$ during the period. The only metals whose prices rose during the first quarter of 2012 were gold and, to a lesser extent, silver.

Expectations as to the demand for oil moderated in 2011 with forecasts of slower global growth and a mild winter in the Northern Hemisphere, but prices turned up again in late 2011 due to the build-up of inventories in Asia and geopolitical tension in the Islamic Republic of Iran, including the European Union embargo on imports of Iranian oil. The first three months of 2012 saw a year-on-year increase of $12.7 \% ;^{14}$ the average increase for 2012 is forecast to be in the area of $10 \%$.

The impact of these developments on the terms of trade is expected to differ according to each country's export structure. A modest $1.0 \%$ decline is forecast for the region as a whole in 2012. Despite the improving outlook for soybeans, slumping prices for other grains and other commodities will mean a slight worsening of the terms of trade for the subgroup of agricultural-product exporters, similar to the regional average. Chile and Peru, whose main exports are metals and minerals, will also feel the impact of falling prices for these commodities in the form of a $2.3 \%$ decline in their terms of trade. Countries whose main exports are hydrocarbons will benefit from the expected rise in oil prices. Despite the forecast decline in food prices, the countries of Central America are expected to see worsening terms of trade as the price of some of their agricultural products (especially coffee) drops and their oil bill rises.

## Latin America's imports and exports slow

Falling prices for the region's main export commodities were a drag on export value during the first quarter of 2012. For most of the countries of Latin America, export growth started to tail off in the third quarter of 2011 -even earlier in some cases. The only exceptions were Costa Rica and, to a lesser degree, Peru. Costa Rica's exports are mainly manufactured goods, which benefited from a relatively good manufacturing industry scenario in the United States. Peru's exports saw a partial rally after a sharp downtrend during the first half of 2011. For the region as a whole, exports went from $29.3 \%$ year-on-year growth in the second quarter of 2011 to $10.4 \%$ in the first quarter of 2012 . The countries where export value declined the least were the major hydrocarbon-exporting countries, thanks to the recent surge in oil prices.

[^8]Figure 11
LATIN AMERICA: VARIATION IN THE TERMS OF TRADE, 2009-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a The figures for 2012 are projections.
Table 6
LATIN AMERICA: YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION IN EXPORT VALUE, 2011-2012
(Percentages, simple average by group ${ }^{a}$ )

|  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Argentina | 31.1 | 20.2 | 25.7 | 19.8 | 6.9 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 25.3 | 28.6 | 41.6 | 24.7 | 19.9 |
| Brazil | 30.6 | 34.3 | 28.6 | 15.9 | 7.5 |
| Chile | 29.5 | 30.1 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Colombia | 38.2 | 43.9 | 47.8 | 42.0 | 20.5 |
| Costa Rica | 4.0 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 16.3 |
| Dominican Republic | 26.4 | 32.6 | 26.0 | 20.4 | $\ldots$ |
| Ecuador | 29.7 | 29.9 | 35.9 | 17.3 | 14.6 |
| El Salvador | 28.0 | 24.1 | 13.8 | 6.7 | 0.6 |
| Guatemala | 26.1 | 22.6 | 30.0 | 13.9 | 0.6 |
| Haiti | 41.8 | -5.8 | -2.8 | 9.1 | $\ldots$ |
| Honduras | 48.6 | 57.7 | 24.1 | 31.1 | $9.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Mexico | 22.8 | 19.9 | 16.6 | 10.5 | 9.6 |
| Nicaragua | 33.0 | 22.4 | 12.1 | 20.5 | 5.9 |
| Panama | 28.7 | 33.4 | 57.5 | 15.8 | $\ldots$ |
| Paraguay | 13.7 | 26.5 | 40.8 | 13.5 | .. |
| Peru | 27.5 | 43.9 | 38.7 | 13.1 | 15.6 |
| Uruguay | 28.6 | 10.5 | 24.8 | 13.2 | $8.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 26.2 | 56.2 | 53.6 | 29.4 | 23.6 |
| Latin America | 27.0 | 29.3 | 25.7 | 15.1 | $10.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Central America | 29.6 | 24.9 | 21.5 | 16.3 | ... |
| Financially integrated countries | 29.7 | 34.4 | 27.3 | 16.4 | 10.9 |
| Agricultural-product-exporting countries | 24.5 | 19.1 | 30.4 | 15.5 | $\ldots$ |
| Hydrocarbon-exporting countries | 26.9 | 38.1 | 43.7 | 23.9 | 19.4 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Except the average for Latin America, which is a weighted average.
b Data for January and February 2012.
c These growth rates are for countries for which updated information for the first quarter of 2012 is available; in 2011 they accounted for 95.7\% of Latin America's exports.

Europe's imports from Latin America have plummeted since early 2011 as the European debt crisis brought an economic slowdown. However, as table 7 shows, the impact has been heterogeneous: the total value of European Union imports grew by just 3\% in the first quarter of 2012, but imports from Latin America increased by some $10 \%$. Manufactured goods were especially hard hit and trended down early in the year. But fuel imports grew briskly, driven by rising oil prices and, above all, by efforts undertaken by the European countries to diversify their energy sources.

Table 7

## EUROPEAN UNION: YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION OF IMPORT VALUE, BY ORIGIN AND PRODUCT, 2011-2012

(Percentages)

| Origin | Product | Share in 2011 | 2011 |  |  |  | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I | II | III | IV | I |
| World | Total | 100.0 | 21.3 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 3.6 | 3.0 |
|  | Food, beverages and tobacco products | 8.1 | 15.3 | 10.8 | 8.4 | 5.3 | 4.9 |
|  | Raw materials | 4.5 | 42.0 | 22.1 | 13.4 | 4.6 | -2.7 |
|  | Fuels and lubricants | 16.1 | 37.7 | 28.3 | 28.9 | 20.3 | 18.0 |
|  | Manufactured goods | 70.1 | 18.2 | 8.7 | 4.7 | -0.1 | 1.5 |
|  | Unclassified | 1.3 | -0.9 | -24.3 | 24.1 | 8.6 | 81.3 |
| Latin America | Total | 100.0 | 28.5 | 18.9 | 16.8 | 9.8 | 9.7 |
|  | Food, beverages and tobacco products | 28.2 | 28.5 | 17.3 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 5.7 |
|  | Raw materials | 22.3 | 40.8 | 28.7 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 2.5 |
|  | Fuels and lubricants | 12.5 | 18.0 | 9.3 | 71.8 | 40.2 | 112.2 |
|  | Manufactured goods | 34.8 | 23.5 | 15.2 | 13.8 | 5.4 | -0.9 |
|  | Unclassified | 2.1 | 66.0 | 53.9 | 33.0 | 39.9 | 44.1 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures provided by the Statistical Office of the European Communities (Eurostat).
a Total imports are for the first quarter of 2012; the breakdown by product is for January-February 2012.

The value of imports to Latin America also grew more slowly in the first quarter of 2012, albeit to a lesser degree than exports (see table 8 ), in a context of surging consumption and appreciating exchange rates. Some countries, like Argentina and Brazil, took action to curb the rise in imports.

In Argentina, a measure launched on 1 February 2012 requires importers to obtain an import license before placing any purchase order abroad. The paperwork involved put the brake on imports, which went from average growth of more than $30 \%$ in 2011 to year-on-year declines of $0.9 \%$ and $7.8 \%$ in February and March 2012, respectively.

Brazil rolled out temporary measures in 2011 that have remained in place so far in 2012. The tax on imported vehicles was increased from $25 \%$ to $30 \%$, except for vehicles with at least $65 \%$ MERCOSUR- or Mexico-sourced content. In March 2012 Brazil renegotiated its automotive sector agreement with Mexico, suspending the existing free trade regime and raising from $30 \%$ to $40 \%$ the regional content requirement for vehicles to be eligible under the agreement between the two countries.

Table 8
LATIN AMERICA: YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION OF IMPORT VALUE, 2011-2012
(Percentages, simple average by group ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

|  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | IV |
| Argentina | 38.4 | 37.8 | 34.0 | 16.4 | 0.0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 32.3 | 36.5 | 53.2 | 43.9 | 20.7 |
| Brazil | 25.4 | 33.3 | 20.9 | 19.7 | 9.5 |
| Chile | 36.0 | 29.8 | 25.5 | 16.2 | 10.5 |
| Colombia | 38.3 | 44.3 | 31.1 | 26.3 | 13.6 |
| Costa Rica | 21.7 | 15.8 | 20.9 | 19.7 | 10.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 17.9 | 12.8 | 10.7 | 9.6 | $\ldots$ |
| Ecuador | 24.0 | 22.3 | 14.6 | 16.6 | 14.0 |
| El Salvador | 23.3 | 20.0 | 24.9 | 8.8 | 6.3 |
| Guatemala | 26.1 | 26.6 | 19.3 | 9.8 | 7.0 |
| Haiti | 30.1 | -13.4 | -7.4 | 8.4 | $\ldots$ |
| Honduras | 20.5 | 29.4 | 28.4 | 23.6 | $11.0^{\text {a }}$ |
| Mexico | 20.6 | 17.8 | 18.1 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Nicaragua | 39.3 | 20.9 | 22.1 | 19.5 | 13.7 |
| Panama | 32.1 | 36.6 | 30.5 | 44.7 | $\ldots$ |
| Paraguay | 37.4 | 26.3 | 30.4 | 27.2 | $\ldots$ |
| Peru | 29.4 | 44.8 | 24.0 | 18.0 | 16.6 |
| Uruguay | 48.1 | 34.3 | 25.3 | 0.8 | $6.6^{\text {b }}$ |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 28.5 | 18.9 | 24.4 | 20.1 | 17.7 |
| Latin America | 26.4 | 26.4 | 22.2 | 16.0 | $10.2^{\text {c }}$ |
| Central America | 26.4 | 18.6 | 18.7 | 18.0 | $\ldots$ |
| Financially integrated countries | 30.0 | 34.0 | 23.9 | 18.0 | 12.1 |
| Agricultural-product-exporting countries | 41.3 | 32.8 | 29.9 | 14.8 | $\ldots$ |
| Hydrocarbon-exporting countries | 28.3 | 25.9 | 30.7 | 26.9 | 17.5 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Except the average for Latin America, which is a weighted average.
${ }^{b}$ Data for January and February.
c These growth rates are for countries for which updated information for the first quarter of 2012 is available; in 2011 they accounted for $92.7 \%$ of Latin America's imports.

## Remittances and tourism posted a partial recovery

After the volatility of 2010, remittances from migrant workers resumed growth in 2011 and are estimated to have risen by nearly $6 \%$ for the region as a whole. Despite this increase, the flow of remittances was still fairly modest, especially in view of the severity of the decline during the 2008 international crisis. Except for Guatemala, Jamaica and Nicaragua, income from remittances in 2011 remained below historical highs. The reason was the labour market in the United States and Spain: unemployment in these main destinations for migrants from Latin America remained high throughout 2011.

The first three months of 2012 brought a surge in remittance income in a number of countries in the region, particularly El Salvador (up 9.5\%) and Guatemala (8.8\%) as the relative recovery of the United States labour market in late 2011 extended into the first few months of 2011. The only country on which the data point to a declining flow of remittances during the period is Colombia; this reflects labour market problems in Spain, which is a major destination for migrants from Colombia.

International tourist arrivals began to grow again in the vast majority of countries in 2011, although the pace varied among subregions. In the economies of the Caribbean, where tourism is a vital source of income, the flow of tourists posted a modest gain of $1.5 \%$. Mexico - the principal tourist destination in the region- saw an increase of just $0.7 \%$. These figures reflect the economic slowdown in
the United States and Europe, which are the main tourism countries of origin. In Central America the flow of tourists was up by $6.0 \%$ in 2011; South America recorded a $10.6 \%$ increase owing above all to rising interregional and business tourism.

Figure 12

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (10 COUNTRIES): VARIATION <br> IN MIGRANT REMITTANCE REVENUE, 2010-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> (Percentages)



Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Data for 2012 are for January-March, except for Honduras and Jamaica, where they are for January-February. There are no official published data for 2012 for the Dominican Republic, Ecuador or Costa Rica.

The data for the first quarter of 2012 show an uptrend in the flow of tourists to the region and marked gains over the first quarter of 2011 for a number of countries on which information is available (see table 9). This trend is far more marked in the countries of Central America and the Caribbean. These positive tourism figures are attributable to the relative labour market improvement in the United States and higher confidence levels in the economic outlook there.

## The region maintained free access to international capital markets

During the first quarter of 2012 the external financial environment continued to be marked by turbulence arising mainly from the difficulties in finding a solution for the sovereign debt crisis of several euro zone countries. But Latin America and the Caribbean continued to access the international markets freely and was unaffected by the soaring cost of external funding. There were even countries, like Jamaica, that recovered access to the international capital market.

In 2011, Latin America and the Caribbean posted a modest deficit on the balance-of-payments current account equal to $1.4 \%$ of GDP. The components of the financial account followed the same pattern as in recent years, with soaring net foreign direct investment (FDI) once again the main source of external funding ( $2.4 \%$ of GDP) and net portfolio investment reaching $1.8 \%$ of GDP. Net liabilities for other investment, which used to reflect net outflows resulting from the cross-border flight of foreign-
currency deposits, rising asset placements abroad, lower lending from foreign banks and deleveraging were not significant in 2011 other than a modest outflow of funds from Argentina and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela.

Table 9

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION IN INTERNATIONAL TOURIST ARRIVALS, 2011-2012

| (Percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I |
| South America |  |  |  |  | ... |
| Argentina | 10.3 | 10.1 | 6.8 | -0.8 | $\ldots$ |
| Brazil | -4.5 | 17.6 | 5.2 | 10.4 | $\ldots$ |
| Chile | 33.6 | 19.8 | 9.8 | 8.6 | 14.2 |
| Colombia | 15.3 | 13.3 | 2.8 | -0.3 |  |
| Ecuador | 4.1 | 12.7 | 7.0 | 12.6 | 15.8 |
| Paraguay | 7.7 | 5.9 | 9.1 | 24.9 | ... |
| Peru | 16.7 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 10.4 | $\ldots$ |
| Uruguay | 40.7 | 29.2 | 12.5 | 8.4 | -1.8 |
| Central America |  |  |  |  |  |
| Costa Rica | 7.8 | 4.8 | -0.7 | 5.4 | 8.0 |
| Cuba | 11.5 | 9.4 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 5.3 |
| Dominican Republic | 3.3 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 7.8 |
| El Salvador | 1.5 | -5.6 | 5.5 | 10.6 | ... |
| Guatemala | -3.4 |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| Haiti | 47.2 | 4.9 | -13.9 | 210.2 | $\ldots$ |
| Mexico | -3.1 | 2.0 | -2.5 | 7.0 | $3.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Panama | 10.1 | 10.2 |  |  | ... |
| The Caribbean |  |  |  |  | ... |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 2.6 | 9.5 | 6.3 | -0.1 | 6.8 |
| Bahamas | -3.2 | -1.3 | -3.1 | 0.3 | $2.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Barbados | 5.9 | 6.7 | 11.3 | 3.4 | 2.3 |
| Belize | 0.4 | 8.1 | 3.3 | 10.1 | 8.1 |
| Dominica | -4.6 | -5.4 | 5.8 | -1.3 | $-5.2^{\text {b }}$ |
| Grenada | 0.5 | 24.5 | 6.8 | 12.5 | $\ldots$ |
| Guyana | -4.8 | 8.9 | 0.5 | 13.1 | $18.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Jamaica | 4.4 | 2.4 | -1.1 | 0.0 | $-2.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | -7.1 | 5.2 | 3.2 | -2.7 | ... |
| Saint Lucia | -1.1 | -5.8 | -12.6 | -1.0 | $\ldots$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | -5.0 | 15.8 | -2.8 | 1.3 | $8.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Suriname | 4.4 | 18.5 | 5.8 | 4.7 | $14.2^{\text {a }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Data for January and February.
b Data for January.

During the first quarter of 2012 the region continued to face external financial volatility arising above all from the sovereign debt crisis of some euro zone countries. While this fanned global uncertainty, the perceived risk of the countries of the region remained relatively stable both for the lowerrisk countries and for the higher-risk ones. As a result, despite the turbulent external scenario, the region did not see a worsening of its conditions for accessing the financial market and was not affected by the surging cost of funding.

Figure 13
LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES): SOVEREIGN RISK PREMIUMS FOR LOWER-RISK COUNTRIES, JANUARY 2007-JANUARY 2012
(Basis points)


Source: JP Morgan Emerging Markets Bond Index Global (EMBI Global).

Figure 14
LATIN AMERICA (SELECTED COUNTRIES): SOVEREIGN RISK PREMIUMS FOR HIGHER-RISK COUNTRIES, JANUARY 2007-JANUARY 2011
(Basis points)


Source: JP Morgan Emerging Markets Bond Index Global (EMBI Global).

Throughout the first quarter of 2012 the region was even more able to tap the international financial markets than in the previous period. As the figure 15 shows, although episodes of turbulence in certain developed economies have heightened risk aversion in international financial markets, numerous international bond issues were placed in 2011 and in 2012 to date, including sovereign bonds, quasisovereign bonds (especially those issued by State-owned companies in Brazil) and corporate bonds. ${ }^{15}$ The region's banks remained very active on the corporate bond market; taking advantage of low funding costs produced both by the global financial situation and by a steady stream of risk rating upgrades of a number of Latin American countries, they have opted to fund themselves abroad with long-term loans maturing in 10 years or more. As a result, the monthly flow of bond issues from the region rose from a monthly average of US\$ 7.160 billion in 2011 to a monthly average of US\$ 14.210 billion during the first quarter of 2012.

Figure 15

## LATIN AMERICA: BOND ISSUES ON INTERNATIONAL MARKETS AND COUNTRY RISK, JANUARY 2007-MARCH 2012 <br> (Millions of dollars and basis points)



Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of figures from LatinFinance (bonds database), JP Morgan and Merrill Lynch.

## C. MACROECONOMIC POLICY

## Fiscal revenue grew but at a slower rate; expenditure patterns were uneven

Fiscal accounts recovered partially in 2011. After two years in negative territory there was on average a small primary surplus equal to $0.1 \%$ of GDP as fiscal revenues outpaced expenditure. With differences among countries, total fiscal revenues went from $18.8 \%$ of GDP in 2010 to $19.3 \%$ of GDP in

15 The following nine countries issued bonds abroad during the first quarter of 2012: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Guatemala, Jamaica, Mexico, Panama and Peru.

2011, with tax receipts rising overall as economic activity picked up, tax changes took effect in some countries and rising international commodity prices drove up receipts in commodity-exporting countries. The slight uptick in public expenditure, going from $20.8 \%$ of GDP in 2010 to $21.0 \%$ of GDP in 2011, was associated with an increase in capital expenditure and interest payments. A number of countries in the region resumed lowering the ratio of public debt to GDP, although public debt remains high in most of the countries of the Caribbean.

Total fiscal revenue grew in the first quarter of 2012 in the countries for which information is available. However, half of them saw something of a slowdown; in some, public expenditure rose more. The rate of increase of total revenue in real terms was lower than in the first quarter of 2011 in Brazil, Chile, Guatemala, Peru and the Plurinational State of Bolivia; in Argentina, Colombia, Costa Rica, Mexico and Uruguay the pace was faster. In Mexico, the rise in total revenue was due chiefly to higher oil prices. In Argentina and Uruguay the reason was higher tax revenue and social security contributions.

The tax take rose throughout 2011 but with a tendency to slow down that carried over into the first quarter of 2012 (see table 10). The average real rate of growth of tax receipts during the first quarter was positive for all of the countries on which information is available. At $8 \%$ for an average of 11 countries of the region the gain was lower than in the $12 \%$ recorded in the same quarter of 2011 . The countries that saw smaller increases in receipts during the first three months of the year were those with a historically low tax burden, such as El Salvador, Guatemala and Mexico, although El Salvador and Guatemala recently adopted tax reforms. The $23 \%$ surge in receipts in Panama was due above all to the tax reform enacted in March 2010 and an expansion of economic activity during the first two months of 2012 that outpaced the rate seen during the same period of 2011.

Table 10

## LATIN AMERICA (14 COUNTRIES) ${ }^{a}$ : QUARTERLY YEAR-ON-YEAR REAL VARIATION IN TOTAL TAX RECEIPTS, SIMPLE AVERAGE

| (Percentages) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Argentina | 11.0 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.4 |
| Barbados | -9.0 | -1.4 | 12.3 | -12.0 | ... |
| Brazil | 16.8 | 18.5 | 17.8 | 6.2 | 6.6 |
| Chile | 21.3 | 12.0 | 18.6 | 13.7 | 8.6 |
| Colombia | 8.0 | 48.6 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| Costa Rica | -1.8 | 11.5 | 3.6 | 10.3 | 8.4 |
| Dominican Republic | 2.3 | 5.2 | 0.4 | 5.0 | ... |
| El Salvador | 14.4 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 2.2 |
| Guatemala | 14.6 | 11.2 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 1.1 |
| Mexico | 0.9 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 14.2 | 1.2 |
| Panama | 29.2 | 11.6 | -0.9 | -11.6 | 23.9 |
| Paraguay | 11.3 | 4.6 | 10.0 | 3.1 | -1.3 |
| Peru | 16.8 | 14.9 | 12.0 | 9.8 | 8.2 |
| Uruguay | 5.5 | 3.2 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 5.6 |

[^9]a Not including social security contributions. For Mexico, non-oil revenue only.
b Variation for the first two months of 2012.

The majority trend towards stronger fiscal accounts in 2011 did not extend into the first quarter of 2012. In Argentina, Colombia and Uruguay, public spending rose faster than revenue during that period (see table 11). Mexico and Brazil, where the slowdown halted in the first quarter, turned in different fiscal performances: expenditure expanded more than revenue in Mexico while the opposite was the case in Brazil. In Chile, which did not experience a slowdown, expenditure slightly outpaced revenue. Guatemala, Peru and Trinidad and Tobago all cut public spending to improve their fiscal balance. The greater jump in revenue in Peru reflects rising tax receipts; in Trinidad and Tobago it is due to higher revenue from rising oil prices. It is to be expected that this pattern will repeat itself in other petroleumexporting countries in the region.

Table 11
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): QUARTERLY YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION IN REAL FISCAL REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE
(Percentages)

|  | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Quarter I } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2011 <br> Quarter I |  | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { Quarter I } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { revenue } \end{gathered}$ | Total expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { revenue } \end{gathered}$ | Total expenditure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { revenue } \end{gathered}$ | Total expenditure |
| Guatemala | 6.0 | 11.3 | 14.6 | 4.1 | 0.8 | -9.7 |
| Peru | 25.3 | 29.3 | 13.0 | 5.3 | 6.6 | -2.4 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | -6.3 | -22.8 | -8.5 | -0.6 | 8.0 | -0.6 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | -3.2 | 22.9 | 14.1 | -10.6 | 12.1 | 6.7 |
| Costa Rica | 1.9 | 21.7 | -0.3 | 4.3 | 8.2 | 4.2 |
| Brazil | 10.5 | 6.7 | 12.7 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 6.5 |
| Chile | 18.8 | 5.0 | 18.8 | -6.8 | 11.2 | 11.7 |
| Argentina | 7.3 | 10.1 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 12.2 | 15.5 |
| Mexico | 8.1 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 7.2 | 12.5 |
| Uruguay | 13.1 | 15.0 | -1.6 | -5.9 | 1.3 | 27.2 |
| Colombia | 5.4 | -2.2 | 2.1 | -12.6 | 3.6 | 18.7 |
| Ecuador | 62.2 | 10.5 | 17.8 | 33.8 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 3.4 | -0.5 | 2.1 | 6.2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

A number of countries announced new fiscal measures geared towards cushioning the impact of the global economic slowdown in 2011. ${ }^{16}$ In December 2011 the government of Brazil announced it would lower taxes on financial transactions, certain consumer goods and food staples, as well as broaden social benefits and establish a tax rebate for exporters of manufactured goods. ${ }^{17}$ In Peru, two emergency decrees adopted in September and October 2011 provided for short- and long-term measures to revitalize the economy, including allocating resources to infrastructure.

[^10]In late 2011 and early 2012 many countries of the region set in motion tax reform processes aimed at increasing the tax take (see table 12). Peru rolled out two new mining taxes; Ecuador raised the tax on foreign currency outflow and some specific consumption taxes. El Salvador raised the corporate income tax rate and established a minimum tax on gross revenue. Guatemala tightened controls on costs and expenses that are deductible for income tax purposes and put in place a tax on dividends. As an environmental protection measure, Ecuador adopted a tax on vehicle pollution and a levy on plastic bottles while Guatemala raised its vehicle circulation tax.

## Table 12

LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: TAX MEASURES AND REFORMS, 2011-2012

| Country | Description of the reform | ```Expected tax receipts in 2012 (percentage of GDP)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | In December 2011 the Government of Brazil announced it would cut a number of taxes on financial transactions, certain consumer durables and food staples, as well as broaden social benefits and provide a tax rebate for exporters of manufactured goods. |  |
| Peru | Two emergency decrees adopted in September and October 2011 contain short- and longterm measures to revitalize the economy, allocating additional funding for the national infrastructure maintenance plan. The new tax regime for mining took effect on 1 October, replacing the old mining royalty system with a tax based on the quarterly operating profits of mining companies. Two new mining taxes were also put in place: the special mining tax (IEM) and special mining levy (GEM). Both have a progressive rate structure and are also based on operating profits. | $0.4 \%$ (new tax regime for mining) |
| Ecuador | A new tax reform enacted in November 2011 raised the tax on the outflow of foreign currency and the tax on cigarettes and alcoholic beverages. New levies on plastic bottles and motor vehicle pollution were introduced. |  |
| El Salvador | In December 2011 the legislative assembly of El Salvador approved a new tax reform package raising the personal income tax exemption threshold, allowing a $10 \%$ deduction for dividends paid, raising the corporate income tax rate from $25 \%$ to $30 \%$ and establishing a $1 \%$ minimum tax on gross income, among other measures. | 0.6\% |
| Guatemala | Anti-evasion act II was approved in January 2012; the tax update act was approved in February 2012. Among the main measures are a gradual lowering of the corporate income tax rate (from $31 \%$ to $25 \%$ ) and stricter oversight of deductible costs and expenses, a 5\% tax on dividends (previously exempt), a gradual increase of the simplified filing regime rate, a substantial reduction of the rates for wage-earners; a specific tax on the first registration of vehicles and an increase in the vehicle circulation tax. | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \% \text { in } 2012 \text { and } \\ 1.3 \% \text { in } 2013 \end{gathered}$ |


| Reforms under way | The executive branch submitted a tax reform bill that on 10 April 2012 was ruled <br> unconstitutional by the Constitutional Chamber of the Supreme Court on the grounds of <br> improper congressional procedure. The tax solidarity bill aims to amend the two principal <br> taxes in Costa Rica's tax system: the income tax (by rolling the current rates into a $15 \%$ <br> flat tax); and the general sales tax (for reasons of redistribution to lower-income segments <br> of the population). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Paraguay | In May 2012 the chamber of deputies approved the personal income tax, which will <br> enter into force once approved by the senate. |
| Chile | In April 2012 the executive branch sent the tax reform bill to congress. The bill seeks, <br> among other measures, to boost tax receipts by means of mechanisms for combating <br> evasion, raise the corporate income tax rate, lower the education burden for families, <br> eliminate unjustified exemptions and close tax arbitration loopholes. |
| Colombia | The ELISSA tax reform bill was submitted to the congress of the republic in May |
| 2012. The Spanish-language acronym stands for the principles on which it is based: <br> equality, fairness, simplicity, attractiveness and adherence to international standards. <br> The overarching goal is to correct existing tax and parafiscal inequalities and curtail <br> evasion. |  |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica and Paraguay also launched tax reforms that are subject to legislative approval. The executive branch of Costa Rica's government sent the legislature an income tax, receipt redistribution and sales tax reform bill that was declared unconstitutional by the Constitutional Chamber of the Supreme Court. In April 2012, the executive branch of the Chilean government submitted to congress a tax reform bill designed to boost receipts, redistribute revenue and combat evasion. In Paraguay, a personal income tax bill is pending senate approval for entry into force. In Colombia, the executive branch submitted a structural tax reform bill referred to as ELISSA (the acronym stands for equality, fairness, simplicity, attractiveness and adherence to international standards) that seeks above all to correct existing tax and parafiscal inequalities and curtail evasion.

## Prevailing monetary policy brought few interest rate changes but more significant changes in monetary aggregates

High uncertainty as to global economic growth and a general easing of external inflationary pressures led most of the countries of the region to maintain a cautious monetary policy stance during the first quarter of 2012, with few policy rate changes. In those countries that did raise policy rates, it was in response to uptrending inflation (Colombia) or inflation that was relatively high but trending down (Honduras and Uruguay). In countries where the economic slowdown seemed sharper, such as Brazil and Paraguay, interest rate cuts were more drastic. They were less so in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Chile; Chile lowered the rate in early January. There were no reference rate changes in the Caribbean, where most of the countries do not see interest rates as their primary monetary policy tool.

The region's banking and financial systems recorded no significant nominal lending rate changes during the first quarter of the year compared with 2011, so different inflation rate patterns were the main reasons for real interest rate fluctuations. Real interest rates trended slightly up as inflation slowed, except in Argentina and Nicaragua, where real lending rates trended down in the first quarter of 2012.

During the first quarter of 2012, the monetary aggregates (monetary base, M1 and M2) continued to shoot up at rates nearing or topping 30\% in Argentina, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and Nicaragua, which also posted the highest rates of inflation. Unlike the two other countries, Argentina saw monetary aggregate growth tail off. At the other extreme, with monetary aggregate growth in the single digits, were Brazil (8.3\%) and some countries of Central America. Most posted growth rates between $10 \%$ y $20 \%$ during the first three months of the year (see figure 16). The monetary base expanded somewhat more in Peru (28.6\%), Uruguay ( $28.1 \%$ ) and Trinidad and Tobago ( $24.0 \%$ ); Peru has been reinforcing its monetary policy with an expanded range of macroprudential measures that have helped encourage the substitution of deposits in foreign currency with deposits in national currency. ${ }^{18}$

[^11]Figure 16

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION IN MONETARY AGGREGATES, QUARTERLY AVERAGES

(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Nor did the expansion of credit in the economies of the region change significantly over 2011. The two countries where lending increased the most were Argentina and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, albeit at a slower pace in Argentina in keeping with the trend in its monetary aggregates discussed above. The different trajectories in these two countries are the main reason for the slowdown in lending seen in the manufactured- and agricultural-goods-exporting countries of South America and the faster growth of lending in the hydrocarbon-exporting countries. Lending continued to expand at a moderate pace in the countries of Central America and very slowly in the Caribbean (see figure 17).

Figure 17

## LATIN AMERICA: YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION OF TOTAL LENDING, QUARTERLY AVERAGES

(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

## International reserves were adjusted to dampen exchange rate volatility

Global financial markets continued to be clouded by high uncertainty and volatility owing above all to the debt crisis in euro zone countries. Another factor has been the flood of liquidity set off by the monetary policy response in the euro zone and in the United States (see section A). The growth prospects and lower risk of the economies of the region attracted a substantial inflow of external funds that, combined with high export prices in some cases, fed currency appreciation trends. Nominal exchange rate fluctuations were particularly sharp during episodes of heightened uncertainty on the global markets, making monetary authorities even more convinced of the need for exchange rates that, while flexible, were not subject to external volatility and would stabilize the impact of measures adopted to stimulate the production of exportable and tradable goods. Currency market interventions were therefore frequent.

Policies geared towards preventing sharp currency fluctuations continued to predominate throughout 2011 and the first quarter of 2012, building up international reserves in cases of marked fluctuation in order to facilitate currency appreciation, or lowering reserve accumulation in order to
maintain fixed or relatively stable exchange rates in the face of fluctuations that could lead to temporary depreciation.

During the first quarter of 2012 those countries that are more integrated into the international financial markets built up their international reserves amidst real currency appreciation pressures. This led the monetary authorities to favour larger build-ups, such as in Peru (8.9\%), Mexico (5.2\%), Brazil $(1.9 \%)$ and Colombia ( $0.9 \%$ ). Chile lowered its reserve accumulation slightly ( $1.1 \%$ ) after its currencypurchase programme ended in December 2011. With low inflation, real currency appreciation in these countries largely tracked nominal exchange rate movements. As a result, real appreciation was very low in Peru and Brazil ( $1 \%$ and 3\%, respectively) and somewhat sharper in en Chile (5\%), Mexico (6\%) and Colombia (7\%). ${ }^{19}$

Exchange rate patterns varied across the agricultural-product-exporting economies of South America during the first quarter of 2012. To ward off inflation, Argentina held its exchange rate stable and increased reserves slightly ( $0.4 \%$ ). Uruguay recorded a larger build-up ( $5.6 \%$ ) in line with its effort to deal with appreciation pressures; reserves fell slightly in Paraguay ( $2.7 \%$ ), where the exchange rate depreciated.

The dollarized economies for which information is available (Ecuador and El Salvador) saw their international reserves fall in the first quarter of 2012; the contraction in Ecuador (12.5\%) was the largest in the region. The economies of the Caribbean that do not have fixed exchange rate regimes (Jamaica, Guyana and Suriname) recorded no variations during the period. ${ }^{20}$ Most of the countries of Central America, the Dominican Republic and Haiti posted slight currency depreciation in the first quarter of 2012. The exception was Guatemala, where the quetzal saw no variation. Among the hydrocarbonexporting economies, appreciation in the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (3\%) and Trinidad and Tobago ( $2 \%$ ) arose from the mix of high inflation and stable nominal exchange rates, while the Plurinational State of Bolivia saw no change in its effective real exchange rate. For these economies as a whole, changes in international reserves ranged from a $5.1 \%$ decline in Jamaica to a $13.4 \%$ increase in Honduras, the largest in the region.

In short, beyond a certain real exchange rate appreciation in the countries most closely integrated in the financial market and, especially, in the hydrocarbon-exporting countries, there were no significant real exchange rate variations in the other countries of the region between the fourth quarter of 2011 and the first quarter of 2012. The average indices for the dollarized economies, the economies of the Englishspeaking Caribbean and the countries of Central America, including the Dominican Republic and Haiti, remained virtually constant. However, and particularly for the most financially integrated countries, the appreciation trend reversed in the second quarter of 2012 amidst heightened uncertainty concerning the euro zone (see section D).

[^12]Figure 18
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: VARIATION IN INTERNATIONAL RESERVES, QUARTERLY AVERAGE
(Percentages)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Figure 19
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: REAL EFFECTIVE EXTRAREGIONAL EXCHANGE RATES
(Base 2005=100)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

## The governments of the region have continued to reinforce prudential and macroprudential policy

In the first quarter of 2012, authorities responsible for macroprudential policy in the region took measures to protect the macrofinancial stability of their economies. The authorities of Paraguay, Peru and the Plurinational State of Bolivia took steps to regulate deposits in foreign currency. Other measures implemented during the first quarter of the year sought to regulate bank operations by changing the minimum capital requirements for financial institutions (Argentina and Uruguay), restricting the issuance of negotiable securities (Brazil and Uruguay), changing the criteria for determining the reserve requirements for demand deposits (Brazil) and other measures linked to mortgage finance, such as new mortgage bond regulations in Chile and revised methodologies for setting interest rates linked to mortgage loans in Colombia.

## D. OUTLOOK FOR 2012

## Economic activity starts to recover but does not reach 2011 levels

The main base case scenario assumptions used by ECLAC to gauge the outlook for the region for the next few years are that the United States economy will continue to grow, but slowly, and that the economic and financial crisis sweeping the countries of the European Union will be contained, although it worsen in 2012 as the GDP of a number of countries shrinks (as has been happening in the first quarter of 2012). Contagion from the European crisis may produce contagion that could unleash another global financial crisis. ECLAC assumes that the Chinese economy will continue to slow, as first-quarter growth figures hint, and that economic growth will pick up in Japan owing largely to reconstruction of the areas hit by the 2011 earthquake and the reestablishment of production structure linkages that had been cut.

This international scenario will impact the countries of the region in different ways, depending to a large extent on their export structure and the relative weight of their export destination countries. Mexico and some Central American and Caribbean countries will benefit from a modest upturn in economic activity in the United States as their exports of goods and services to -and the number of tourist arrivals from - the United States pick up. Economies specializing in producing and exporting commodities will be more dependent on the performance of the Asian economies that are the primary destination for these exports.

Those countries with a large share of exports going to Europe will be harder hit by falling demand there. Table 14 tracks year-on-year growth of export value, both overall and to the European Union, for countries where the latter account for at least $15 \%$ of total exports. ${ }^{21}$ As the table shows, the rate of export value growth, both overall and to the European Union, has been slowing markedly since early 2011 and in some cases was negative in absolute terms (negative year-on-year variations). This trend sharpened in the first quarter of 2012. As discussed below, this situation also has a bearing on the flows of remittances, tourism and financial flows.

[^13]Table 13

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (6 COUNTRIES): YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION IN EXPORT VALUE, TOTAL AND TO THE EUROPEAN UNION, 2011-2012

(Percentages)

|  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 | Share of exports to the European Union as a percentage of the total, average 2007-2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | I |  |
| Argentina | Total | 31.1 | 20.2 | 25.7 | 19.8 | 6.9 | 17.8 |
|  | European Union | 37.6 | 32.5 | 22.9 | 5.5 | n.a. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Brazil | Total | 30.6 | 34.3 | 28.6 | 15.9 | 7.5 | 23.2 |
|  | European Union | 31.2 | 33.5 | 23.4 | 6.9 | -0.4 |  |
| Chile | Total | 29.5 | 30.1 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 21.0 |
|  | European Union | 42.6 | 49.5 | -5.4 | -11.7 | -16.9 |  |
| Costa Rica | Total | 4.0 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 16.3 | 17.4 |
|  | European Union ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.5 | 13.6 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 4.1 |  |
| Honduras | Total | 48.6 | 57.7 | 24.1 | 31.1 | 13.9 | 19.7 |
|  | European Union | 54.3 | 79.5 | 65.8 | 52.4 | 57.6 |  |
| Uruguay | Total | 28.6 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 11.9 | 12.5 | 17.7 |
|  | European Union | 28.0 | 16.5 | 30.8 | 6.5 | -9.0 |  |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Not available.
b Includes 14 main trading partners in the European Union.

Table 14
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATION
OF GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, 2008-2012
(Percentages, on the basis of constant 2005 dollars)

| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latin America and the Caribbean <br> (weighted average) | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |
| Financially integrated countries <br> (simple average) | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ |
| Brazil | 5.2 | -0.3 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Chile | 3.7 | -1.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 4.9 |
| Colombia | 3.5 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 4.5 |
| Mexico | 1.2 | -6.3 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| Peru | 9.8 | 0.9 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 5.7 |
| South American exporters of |  |  |  |  |  |
| agro-industrial products |  |  |  | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ |
| (simple average) | $\mathbf{6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0}$ | 8.9 | 3.5 |
| $\quad$ Argentina | 6.8 | 0.9 | 9.2 | 3.8 | -1.5 |
| Paraguay | 5.8 | -3.8 | 15.0 | 5.7 | 3.5 |
| $\quad$ Uruguay | 7.2 | 2.4 | 8.9 |  |  |
| Hydrocarbon-exporting countries |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ |  |
| (simple average) | $\mathbf{5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 6.1 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
| Ecuador | 7.2 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 7.8 | 4.5 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 2.3 | -3.0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | 1.7 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 5.3 | -3.2 | -1.5 | 4.2 | 5.0 |

Table 14 (concluded)

| Country | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central America, Cuba, Dominican Republic and Haiti |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Costa Rica | 2.7 | -1.0 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 5.0 |
| Cuba | 4.1 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Dominican Republic | 5.3 | 3.5 | 7.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| El Salvador | 1.3 | -3.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Guatemala | 3.3 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 3.5 |
| Haiti | 0.8 | 2.9 | -5.4 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| Honduras | 4.2 | -2.1 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 3.2 |
| Nicaragua | 2.8 | -1.5 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.0 |
| Panama | 10.1 | 3.9 | 7.6 | 10.6 | 8.0 |
| The Caribbean excluding Trinidad and Tobago (simple average) | 2.2 | -2.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.3 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 0.0 | -11.9 | -7.9 | -5.0 | 2.3 |
| Bahamas | -2.3 | -4.9 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.8 |
| Barbados | 0.1 | -3.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Belize | 3.8 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| Dominica | 7.7 | -0.7 | 0.9 | -0.3 | 2.6 |
| Grenada | 1.0 | -6.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| Guyana | 2.0 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 4.1 |
| Jamaica | -0.6 | -3.0 | -1.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 4.7 | -6.9 | -2.4 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 1.4 | -2.2 | -2.8 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| Saint Lucia | 5.4 | -1.1 | 3.2 | -2.3 | 2.1 |
| Suriname | 3.1 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 4.5 | 4.3 |
| Subtotal Latin America (weighted average) | 4.0 | -2.0 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 3.7 |
| Central America (9 countries) | 4.3 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| South America (10 countries) | 5.4 | -0.2 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 3.5 |
| Subtotal Caribbean (weighted average) | 0.8 | -3.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.9 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Projections.

It is estimated that the income terms of trade, while declining in most of the countries as the average price of raw materials falls in 2012, will remain at still-high levels. Rising remittances from workers who have migrated from Latin America, continuing expansion of lending to the private sector and improving labour market indicators in the economies of the region will continue to boost domestic demand in the region, although growth will be more moderate than in 2011.

In this scenario, Latin America and the Caribbean could post $3.7 \%$ growth in 2012. Panama and Haiti would continue to be the economies with the highest rate of GDP growth, driven in the former by the continuation of an ambitious investment programme and in the latter by reconstruction efforts in the wake of the 2010 earthquake. Brazil, El Salvador and Paraguay will record the slowest growth of the Latin American countries. The Caribbean will continue to see a positive growth trend thanks to rallying construction and tourism in some countries and, in others, by robust performance on the part of commodity-producing sectors such as agriculture, metal and mining and the hydrocarbon industry.

Figure 20

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: ANNUAL VARIATION IN GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, SIMPLE AVERAGE, ${ }^{\text {ab }}$ 2008-2012

(Percentages)


Central America and
The Caribbean excluding Trinidad and Tobago
Dominican Republic
Financially integrated countries
Hydrocarbon-exporting countries
¿Agricultural exporters of South America
-Latin America and the Caribbean
(weighted average)
Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The average for each of the subregions is the simple average of the countries. The data for Latin America are the weighted average rate of GDP growth for the countries of the region.
${ }^{b}$ Projections.

Job creation is expected to slow slightly over the next few quarters in countries where economic growth in 2012 will be more sluggish than over the past two years. Some variables (such as slower formal job creation in Brazil and Peru, a year-on-year decline in the employment rate in a number of countries and the behaviour of some labour-market indicators in Mexico) point to somewhat weaker quality employment creation, although this is not a generalized trend. And the employment rate will fall more slowly because, among other reasons, many countries have already reached record low levels of unemployment. Nevertheless, brisker growth in Mexico and some Central American countries suggests that labour-market indicators could improve there. On the other hand, the rate of economic growth in the Caribbean, while better than over the past few years, is still too modest to boost job creation.

In view of these factors combined, estimates are that the region's employment rate will increase by between 0.3 percentage points and 0.4 percentage points in 2012. Depending on labour-market participation trends, this could bring urban unemployment for the region as a whole down by some 0.2 percentage points to a new record low of $6.5 \%$. With relatively low unemployment and an overall gradual easing of inflation, wages are likely to continue to rise in real terms -mostly at a moderate pace.

On the external front, slower global economic growth forecast for 2012 will mean that the region's international trade, while continuing to expand, will do so much more slowly than in 2011. With domestic economies outperforming the external economy and an overall worsening of the terms of trade, Latin America's imports are expected to climb $10.2 \%$ during the year and outpace a $6.3 \%$ rise in exports. This would bring the trade surplus down from 1.3\% of GDP in 2011 to just $0.7 \%$ of GDP in 2012.

While the service trade is expected to remain stable and the flow of remittances to the region is forecast to jump by nearly $7 \%$, this is not likely to be enough to offset the trade surplus drop or the resulting widening of the current account deficit, which could reach $1.7 \%$ of GDP ( $1.2 \%$ of GDP in 2011). The hydrocarbon-exporting countries will be the only ones to post a surplus, thanks to the higher price of oil and oil products.

Figure 21

## LATIN AMERICA: CURRENT ACCOUNT STRUCTURE, 2006-2012 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

(Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Projections.

The base-case scenario assumes that there will no substantial fiscal policy shifts in 2012 with the likely exception of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, where a significant jump in expenditure is probable. Slower economic activity than in recent years could lead to a contraction of fiscal revenue, especially for countries that depend more heavily on commodity exports as a source of revenue. That said, commodity price projections (which are higher for oil than for food and metals) point to surging revenue for hydrocarbon-producing countries and stagnant or slightly lower revenue for countries specializing in minerals and agricultural products.

In view of these trends and an uneven spending performance from country to country during the first few months of the year, the fiscal balance is expected to decline slightly compared with 2011. Combined with a region-wide economic slowdown, this is likely to push the public-debt-to-GDP ratio up a bit during the year.

Throughout 2012, monetary and exchange-rate policy will continue to be shaped by external uncertainty and inflation patterns. The behaviour of monetary aggregates will depend on how sharply aggregate domestic demand slows. If the external scenario does not worsen even more and the impact of global uncertainty is contained (that is, if there is no flight to safety), most of the countries of the region are unlikely to shift their current monetary and exchange-rate policy stance. But inflation's resistance to efforts to bring it close to the mid-range of the inflation target band in countries with inflation targeting, along with high credit growth in countries with intermediate targets for monetary aggregates, could lead the monetary authorities to switch to less expansionary policies. Any shift, though, could not be very pronounced as long as the global financial climate remains uncertain.

On the exchange-rate front, growing uncertainty in the global markets is forecast to make currency prices more variable, as has been the case in the past when failure to resolve the sovereign debt crisis in some European countries fed expectations of a global slowdown and changes in the value of the main reserve currencies. In some cases this has helped reverse, at least temporarily, the nominal appreciation trend observed in 2011. So, while appreciation was the prevailing trend during the first quarter of 2012, the economies of Brazil, Chile, Colombia and Mexico saw their currencies depreciate by $12.5 \%, 7.1 \%, 3.8 \%$ and $13.6 \%$, respectively, between 15 March 2012 and late May 2012 against a backdrop of increasing exchange-rate volatility.

## E. POLICY SHIFTS IN AN ADVERSE EXTERNAL SCENARIO

The possibility of an external scenario that is more adverse than the one described above -one leading to a sharper financial system crisis and a worsening sovereign debt situation in some of the larger euro zone economies such as Italy and Spain - should not be ruled out. In the near term (as happened on the heels of the outbreak of the 2008 global financial crisis), such a scenario would trigger a flight to quality or safety that would halt financial flows to the region, set off a run on deposits and portfolio investments and cut off lines of credit from foreign banks. Liquidity requirements, especially in hard currency, would soar, securities markets would drop and currencies would depreciate.

The price of the region's export commodities would decline. Export volumes would feel the impact a little later amidst lower demand from Europe and the effect it would have on economic activity in the region's other key foreign trade markets (the United States and Asia). Net commodity-importing countries might benefit somewhat from lower external prices, but if contagion from the European crisis spreads to destination markets the overall effect will be negative. Migrant worker remittances from Europe and other migration destinations would drop. The intensity of these impacts would vary depending on each country's export mix and destination markets. ${ }^{22}$

Domestically, the public revenues of net commodity-exporting countries would take a hit, and net importers would see declining tariff and value-added tax receipts as the price and value of imports fell. In both cases there would be a clear fiscal balance decline, although for net importers (Central America and the Caribbean) the negative impact might be cushioned by lower pressure for commodity import subsidies. Last, negative expectations for global growth would take a toll on domestic and foreign investment and darken the prospects for growth and employment even more.

[^14]But any examination of the situation should also take account of the more or less complete set of tools at the countries' disposal for containing the potential immediate effects of the crisis on their economies and for implementing countercyclical policies to mitigate the impacts on growth, employment and living standards, especially for the poorer segments of the population.

The countries could, then, swiftly address the immediate effect of the crisis, reflected in a soaring demand for liquidity in national and foreign currency, tapping the significant reserves that a number of countries have built up over the past few years and that in some cases are far more than enough to cover liquidity contingencies. And the experience gained during the 2008 crisis has put the central banks in a position to supplement liquidity in national currency if necessary. There is also the possibility of resorting again to liquidity swap lines with the United States Federal Reserve System; at the height of the 2008 crisis, this helped meet foreign currency liquidity needs in key countries in the region (Brazil, Colombia and México) and stabilize expectations towards a more moderate perception of the crisis. Regional and subregional financial institutions could also activate mechanisms similar to those used during the 2008 crisis that, among other things, mitigated the impact on smaller businesses and thus helped avert a worsening of the unemployment rate.

As for containing the impact on growth and the social fallout from rising unemployment and falling levels of real income, the internal and external solvency position of the countries of the region provides, to varying degrees, room for implementing countercyclical policies and strengthening social protection networks. In some cases, better public and external finance solvency positions are reflected in a downtrend in the public-debt-to-GDP and total-external-debt-to-GDP ratios, except for the countries of the Caribbean where adverse external conditions have not allowed a systematic reduction of indebtedness.

Overall, the region has enough fiscal space for countercyclical policies, except for a few countries in the Caribbean. There are various ways to operationalize the concept of fiscal space; they usually have to do with the capacity to increase spending in a solvent fashion. The simple approach laid out here is for illustration purposes, but it does take account of the requisite consistency between stock and flow variables to achieve fiscal solvency based on each country's starting point and trajectory. The level of indebtedness and interest payments is used to calculate the primary balance flow needed each year to stabilize the public-debt-to-GDP ratio at $40 \%$ over a period of 10 years. ${ }^{23}$ It is assumed that GDP will track average growth over the previous 10 years. This exercise yields a certain required primary balance, as a percentage of GDP, for each year. To see fiscal space trajectories in the region, the required primary balance is then compared with the effective primary balance. If the required balance is greater than the effective balance, there is negative fiscal space. Conversely, if the required primary balance is lower than the effective balance, there is room for increasing spending (by the difference in terms of percentage points of GDP) without endangering finance sustainability. The figure below tracks fiscal space in the region.

As the figure above shows, the region's fiscal space has been steadily improving since 2003 with the exception of the countries of the Caribbean, where it has remained systematically negative because of higher relative indebtedness and fiscal challenges arising from exogenous factors such as bad weather and external price shocks. Figure 22 also shows the impacts of using fiscal capacity to face the 2008 crisis, which made it possible to narrow the gap between the required primary balance and the effective balance. The vast majority of the countries tend to recover fiscal space post-crisis, albeit more slowly in the case of the Central American countries and without reaching pre-crisis levels in the groups of countries identified.

[^15]Figure 22

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: FISCAL SPACE BY GROUP OF COUNTRIES; EFFECTIVE PRIMARY BALANCE LESS REQUIRED BALANCE, 2000-2011

(Percentages of GDP)


Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Experience with the policies implemented by a number of countries to contain the impact of the 2008-2009 global crisis shows that fiscal space could also be used to deploy policies geared towards minimizing the labour-market consequences of a new crisis. The countries could temporarily resort to subsidy schemes to prevent layoffs and to create or expand emergency job programmes, which are usually a source of income, especially for persons with lower skill levels. As such, these measures are part of a broad but targeted network of protection. Those countries with unemployment insurance regimes could consider using their resources to fund training programmes for workers in sectors hardest hit by the situation, to keep them from losing their ties to the labour market and to help them to return to work later, after acquiring new skills and knowledge.

Where there is limited fiscal space or none at all, the situation becomes more difficult and will require temporarily reallocating spending in order to fund measures aimed at mitigating the impact on employment and poverty. International cooperation and finance have a key role to play in achieving these objectives.

Summing up, even though a worse-than-expected external scenario would impact the economies of the region, as happened in the 2008 global crisis, in some cases conditions allow for countercyclical action to cushion the effect on growth without jeopardizing the sustainability of public and external finance. In other cases the outlook is more complex and, along with domestic policies geared towards mitigating the external shock, could require external financial support from regional and multilateral organizations to keep unemployment and poverty from worsening.

## STATISTICAL ANNEX

Table A-1
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Variation from same quarter of preceding year) ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{2012^{b}}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| Argentina | 6.8 | 11.8 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 4.8 |
| Belize | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 6.7 | -0.9 | 2.2 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.1 |
| Brazil | 9.3 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Chile | 1.7 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 9.9 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 5.6 |
| Colombia | 3.9 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 7.7 | 6.1 | ... |
| Costa Rica | 7.1 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 5.6 | ... |
| Dominican Republic | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 5.1 | ... |
| Ecuador | 0.4 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 6.1 | ... |
| El Salvador | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.0 | $\cdots$ |
| Guatemala | 3.6 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 3.1 | $\ldots$ |
| Jamaica | -1.1 | -1.9 | -1.0 | -0.9 | 1.5 | 2.1 | ... | ... | .. |
| Mexico | 4.5 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.6 |
| Nicaragua | 2.7 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 5.1 | ... |
| Panama | 7.9 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 9.6 | $\cdots$ |
| Paraguay | 16.6 | 16.1 | 13.3 | 14.3 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3.4 | ... |
| Peru | 6.2 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 1.9 | -1.2 | 1.2 | -3.5 | -2.4 | 0.6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Uruguay | 9.7 | 11.1 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 7.7 | 3.5 | .. |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | -4.8 | -1.7 | -0.2 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.6 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on figures in local currency at constant prices.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures.

Table A-2

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: CONSUMER PRICES

(12-month percentage variation)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | 1 |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 5.9 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 3.5 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.5 |
| Argentina | 9.7 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 9.5 | 9.8 |
| Bahamas | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| Barbados | 6.6 | 7.4 | 4.1 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 9.3 | 10.4 | 9.6 | $9.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Belice | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 0.7 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 7.2 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 6.9 | 4.0 |
| Brazil | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 5.2 |
| Chile | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 3.8 |
| Colombia | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| Costa Rica | 5.8 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.2 |
| Cuba ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 | $1.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\ldots$ |
| Dominica | 4.6 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 2.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 7.4 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 7.8 | 4.9 |
| Ecuador | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 6.1 |
| El Salvador | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 4.4 |
| Grenada | 2.8 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 2.4 |
| Guatemala | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 6.2 | 4.6 |
| Guyana | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 3.2 | $\ldots$ |
| Haiti | 6.1 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 9.3 | 10.4 | 8.3 | 5.7 |
| Honduras | 4.0 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| Jamaica | 13.3 | 13.2 | 11.3 | 11.8 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 7.3 |
| Mexico | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Nicaragua | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 9.1 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 8.6 | 8.8 |
| Panama | 2.7 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Paraguay | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 7.2 | 10.3 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 4.9 | 3.3 |
| Peru | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 4.2 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 0.3 | 0.9 | -0.8 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 1.9 |
| Saint Lucia | -0.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 3.8 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 2.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| Suriname | 2.9 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 10.3 | 21.2 | 17.7 | 16.5 | 15.3 | 6.6 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 5.1 | 13.6 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 9.0 |
| Uruguay | 7.1 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 7.5 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 28.2 | 31.8 | 28.5 | 27.4 | 28.7 | 25.1 | 26.7 | 29.0 | 24.2 |

[^16]Table A-3
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: UNEMPLOYMENT ${ }^{\text {a }}$ AND EMPLOYMENT ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ RATES
(Average rates)

|  |  | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2012^{c} \\ 1 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| Argentina | Urban areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 8.3 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 7.1 |
|  | Employment | 54.1 | 54.4 | 54.6 | 54.4 | 54.5 | 55.5 | 55.7 | 55.2 | $\ldots$ |
| Barbados | National total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 10.6 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 10.2 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Employment | 60.1 | 60.5 | 58.2 | 58.9 | 61.2 | 59.9 | 59.4 | 59.7 | $\ldots$ |
| Brazil | Six metropolitan areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 7.4 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 5.8 |
|  | Employment | 52.6 | 52.9 | 53.4 | 54.0 | 53.2 | 53.5 | 53.8 | 54.1 | 53.6 |
| Chile | National total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
|  | Employment | 52.5 | 53.1 | 54.2 | 55.1 | 55.3 | 55.6 | 55.5 | 55.8 | 55.9 |
| Colombia | Thirteen metropolitan areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 13.7 | 12.7 | 12.3 | 11.0 | 13.4 | 11.5 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 12.2 |
|  | Employment | 56.1 | 57.0 | 58.0 | 59.1 | 57.0 | 58.7 | 59.6 | 61.1 | 58.7 |
| Ecuador | Urban total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 9.1 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.9 |
|  | Employment | 53.8 | 52.3 | 52.9 | 51.2 | 51.6 | 51.1 | 52.2 | 52.6 | 54.4 |
| Jamaica | Nationwide total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 13.5 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 12.9 | $\ldots$ | 12.3 | 12.8 | 14.1 |
|  | Employment | 54.5 | 54.3 | 55.3 | 54.4 | 55.2 | ... | 53.9 | 54.2 | 53.9 |
| Mexico | Urban areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 5.8 |
|  | Employment | 55.8 | 56.8 | 56.8 | 55.5 | 55.9 | 56.5 | 56.8 | 57.6 | 56.8 |
| Peru | Metropolitan Lima |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 9.2 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 9.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 8.7 |
|  | Employment | 65.0 | 64.1 | 64.3 | 64.6 | 64.5 | 64.0 | 64.6 | 65.0 | 63.8 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | National total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 6.7 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 6.3 | $\ldots$ | 5.8 | 5.2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | Employment | 57.8 | 58.1 | 58.5 | 59.2 | $\ldots$ | 58.0 | 57.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Uruguay | Urban total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unemployment | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
|  | Employment | 58.9 | 58.3 | 59.6 | 59.6 | 60.1 | 60.2 | 60.3 | 59.7 | 59.9 |
| Venezuela | National total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Bolivarian | Unemployment | 9.2 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 7.3 | $9.1{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Republic of) | Employment | 58.5 | 59.1 | 59.1 | 59.5 | 58.3 | 58.5 | 59.1 | 60.1 | $57.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

[^17]Table A-4
LATIN AMERICA: REAL AVERAGE WAGES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Indices 2005=100)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | 1 |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 106.6 | 105.0 | 108.2 | 123.5 | 108.0 | 108.6 | 108.6 | 128.8 | 112.0 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 111.0 | 111.6 | 112.2 | 113.2 | 114.3 | 114.2 | 115.3 | 115.5 | 116.9 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 103.0 | 106.6 | 106.9 | 106.4 | 103.6 | 107.0 | 106.8 | 105.2 | 103.8 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 111.1 | 111.8 | 115.4 | 116.2 | 127.8 | 116.2 | 118.4 | 118.0 | 130.6 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 101.9 | 102.0 | 102.2 | 99.4 | 102.5 | 103.0 | 103.2 | 100.1 | 102.9 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 101.8 | 102.5 | 104.0 | 102.8 | 102.3 | 102.1 | 103.6 | 103.7 | 101.9 |
| Uruguay ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 126.4 | 125.7 | 124.6 | 124.8 | 129.5 | 129.4 | 131.8 | 131.1 | 136.9 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 92.2 | 90.4 | 91.6 | 88.4 | 84.7 | 94.1 | 99.4 | 95.1 | 94.3 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figures deflated by the official consumer price index of each country.
${ }^{b}$ Preliminary figures.
${ }^{c}$ Private-sector workers covered by social and labour legislation.
${ }^{d}$ General index of hourly remuneration.
${ }^{e}$ Manufacturing.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Average wage declared by workers covered by social security.
${ }^{g}$ Average wage index for public and private sector workers.
${ }^{h}$ Remunerations index for public and private sector workers.

Table A-5
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: INTERNATIONAL TRADE OF GOODS (Millions of dollars)

|  |  | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2012^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| Argentina | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 13044 | 19074 | 18720 | 17296 | 17104 | 22918 | 23531 | 20717 | 18283 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 11067 | 13733 | 15787 | 15915 | 15316 | 18920 | 21156 | 18531 | 15314 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 1492 | 1736 | 1916 | 1892 | 1870 | 2233 | 2713 | 2361 | 2242 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 1168 | 1295 | 1401 | 1538 | 1545 | 1767 | 2146 | 2214 | 1864 |
| Brazil | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 39230 | 49958 | 55742 | 56986 | 51233 | 67071 | 71695 | 66041 | 55080 |
|  | Imports of goods f.o.b. | 38349 | 42958 | 50937 | 49524 | 48088 | 57256 | 61596 | 59294 | 52642 |
| Chile | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 15611 | 16395 | 18430 | 20462 | 20210 | 21337 | 19299 | 20565 | 20263 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 12502 | 14221 | 16018 | 16647 | 17006 | 18459 | 20107 | 19336 | 18539 |
| Colombia | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 9135 | 10114 | 9745 | 10825 | 12629 | 14555 | 14400 | 15370 | 15214 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 8811 | 9486 | 10976 | 11410 | 12189 | 13690 | 14388 | 14407 | 13848 |
| Costa Rica | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 2412 | 2426 | 2301 | 2309 | 2508 | 2728 | 2565 | 2608 | 2917 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 3170 | 3355 | 3498 | 3547 | 3859 | 3883 | 4230 | 4248 | 4266 |
| Dominican Republic ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 1538 | 1762 | 1736 | 1717 | 1944 | 2337 | 2187 | 2068 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Imports of goods f.o.b. | 2722 | 3334 | 3347 | 3492 | 3896 | 4512 | 4465 | 4550 |  |
| Ecuador | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 4135 | 4407 | 4119 | 4829 | 5344 | 5704 | 5602 | 5672 | 6127 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 4317 | 5054 | 5493 | 5726 | 5333 | 6104 | 6220 | 6629 | 6032 |
| El Salvador | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 1090 | 1111 | 1170 | 1128 | 1395 | 1379 | 1332 | 1203 | 1404 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 1967 | 2187 | 2122 | 2223 | 2424 | 2624 | 2650 | 2420 | 2577 |
| Guatemala | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 2156 | 2204 | 1919 | 2184 | 2718 | 2702 | 2494 | 2487 | 2734 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 3057 | 3470 | 3563 | 3748 | 3855 | 4394 | 4250 | 4114 | 4124 |
| Haiti | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 120 | 224 | 231 | 162 | 171 | 211 | 224 | 177 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Imports of goods f.o.b. | 631 | 941 | 832 | 809 | 822 | 809 | 770 | 877 |  |
| Honduras | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 768 | 751 | 585 | 645 | 1141 | 1184 | 726 | 846 | $808{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 1737 | 1814 | 1717 | 1865 | 2094 | 2348 | 2205 | 2306 | $1476{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Jamaica | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 368 | 320 | 330 | 354 | 417 | 463 | 405 | 380 |  |
|  | Imports of goods f.o.b. | 1208 | 1288 | 1294 | 1505 | 1570 | 1635 | 1732 | 1788 |  |
| Mexico | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 66597 | 74641 | 75590 | 81645 | 81803 | 89473 | 88153 | 90247 | 89646 |
|  | Imports of goods f.o.b. | 66225 | 74725 | 77841 | 82691 | 79893 | 88044 | 91968 | 90939 | 87900 |
| Nicaragua | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 479 | 499 | 435 | 438 | 637 | 611 | 488 | 527 | 675 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 880 | 1054 | 1081 | 1158 | 1226 | 1274 | 1320 | 1385 | 1394 |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 2400 | 2657 | 2746 | 3319 | 3088 | 3543 | 4324 | 3845 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Imports of goods f.o.b. | 3520 | 3603 | 4308 | 4539 | 4651 | 4922 | 5619 | 6568 | ... |
| Paraguay ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 1952 | 2200 | 2124 | 2243 | 2218 | 2784 | 2991 | 2395 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Imports of goods f.o.b. | 2106 | 2331 | 2557 | 2922 | 2654 | 3028 | 3255 | 3129 |  |
| Peru | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 7924 | 8164 | 9299 | 10178 | 10106 | 11752 | 12900 | 11511 | 11680 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 6336 | 6610 | 7815 | 8054 | 8200 | 9570 | 9690 | 9507 | 9564 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 2836 | 2661 | 2490 | 3217 | 3016 | 3503 | 3468 | ... | ... |
|  | Imports of goods f.o.b. | 1653 | 1562 | 1657 | 1631 | 1744 | 2503 | 2788 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Uruguay | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 1288 | 1959 | 1763 | 1715 | 1657 | 2150 | 2201 | 1940 | 1864 |
|  | Imports of goods c.i.f. | 1756 | 2045 | 2118 | 2703 | 2600 | 2750 | 2652 | 2724 | 2664 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Exports of goods f.o.b. | 16494 | 16064 | 15520 | 17667 | 20815 | 25088 | 23836 | 22863 | 25723 |
|  | Imports of goods f.o.b. | 8295 | 10953 | 10883 | 12070 | 9858 | 13594 | 13072 | 14210 | 14441 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes free zone trade.
${ }^{c}$ Figure as of February.
${ }^{d}$ Figures from quarterly balance of payments.

Table A-6
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): REMITTANCES FROM EMIGRANT WORKERS

> (Millions of dollars)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Colombia | 901 | 969 | 1012 | 1142 | 999 | 1013 | 1051 | 1105 | 960 |
| Costa Rica | 117 | 131 | 129 | 128 | 132 | 126 | 110 | 120 | ... |
| Dominican Republic | 727 | 712 | 759 | 800 | 764 | 776 | 799 | 862 | $\ldots$ |
| Ecuador | 618 | 616 | 681 | 677 | 656 | 698 | 671 | 647 | $\ldots$ |
| El Salvador | 828 | 910 | 834 | 859 | 864 | 948 | 895 | 941 | 946 |
| Guatemala | 891 | 1096 | 1121 | 1019 | 972 | 1203 | 1124 | 1079 | 1058 |
| Honduras | 572 | 666 | 644 | 642 | 643 | 737 | 705 | 665 | $414{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Jamaica | 452 | 476 | 475 | 504 | 480 | 508 | 516 | 522 | $317{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Mexico | 4833 | 5825 | 5545 | 5067 | 5101 | 6061 | 6117 | 5451 | 5372 |
| Nicaragua | 202 | 198 | 201 | 223 | 214 | 221 | 227 | 250 | 250 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figure as of February.

Table A-7
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: SOVEREIGN SPREADS ON EMBI+ AND EMBI GLOBAL
(Basis points to end of period)

|  |  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | 11 | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| Argentina | EMBI+ | 646 | 821 | 675 | 496 | 539 | 568 | 993 | 925 | 880 |
| Belize | EMBI Global | 850 | 858 | 730 | 617 | 680 | 938 | 1308 | 1391 | 1665 |
| Brazil | EMBI+ | 185 | 248 | 206 | 189 | 173 | 148 | 275 | 223 | 177 |
| Chile | EMBI Global | 115 | 146 | 136 | 115 | 117 | 131 | 181 | 172 | 148 |
| Colombia | EMBI+ | 172 | 230 | 169 | 172 | 153 | 121 | 244 | 195 | 141 |
| Ecuador | EMBI+ | 189 | 1013 | 1226 | 913 | 780 | 783 | 868 | 846 | 824 |
| El Salvador | EMBI Global | 257 | 353 | 361 | 302 | 330 | 321 | 510 | 478 | 453 |
| Jamaica | EMBI Global | 423 | 544 | 507 | 427 | 405 | 400 | 629 | 637 | 579 |
| Mexico | EMBI+ | 130 | 179 | 151 | 149 | 135 | 123 | 238 | 187 | 159 |
| Panama | EMBI Global | 167 | 220 | 174 | 162 | 150 | 127 | 252 | 201 | 153 |
| Peru | EMBI+ | 149 | 215 | 174 | 163 | 171 | 169 | 279 | 216 | 157 |
| Uruguay | EMBI Global | 173 | 229 | 169 | 172 | 153 | 121 | 240 | 191 | 141 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republic of) | EMBI+ | 881 | 1230 | 1154 | 1044 | 1035 | 1050 | 1376 | 1197 | 907 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from JP Morgan,
Emerging Markets Bond Index Monitor.

Table A-8

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: RISK PREMIUMS ON FIVE-YEAR

 CREDIT DEFAULT SWAPS(Basis points to end of period)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Argentina | 903 | 987 | 750 | 602 | 592 | 589 | 1084 | 922 | 823 |
| Brazil | 131 | 140 | 116 | 111 | 111 | 110 | 202 | 162 | 122 |
| Chile | 83 | 105 | 74 | 84 | 61 | 76 | 154 | 132 | 92 |
| Colombia | 150 | 152 | 118 | 113 | 108 | 108 | 199 | 156 | 110 |
| Ecuador | - | - | - | - | 2300 | 2300 | 2250 | 2300 | 2300 |
| Mexico | 116 | 138 | 122 | 114 | 105 | 107 | 197 | 154 | 118 |
| Panama | 127 | 135 | 112 | 99 | 91 | 99 | 195 | 150 | 112 |
| Peru | 128 | 137 | 121 | 113 | 138 | 131 | 203 | 172 | 122 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 928 | 1368 | 1133 | 1016 | 1015 | 992 | 1224 | 928 | 722 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from Bloomberg.

Table A-9
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: INTERNATIONAL BOND ISSUES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | 1 |
| Total | 21842 | 17770 | 33181 | 17390 | 29337 | 22217 | 19694 | 21172 | 42645 |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 21792 | 17770 | 32581 | 16514 | 28110 | 22093 | 19019 | 20533 | 41808 |
| Argentina | 475 | 500 | 825 | 1346 | 1250 | 630 | 313 | - | 600 |
| Barbados | - | 190 | 200 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Brazil | 9776 | 6762 | 15947 | 6820 | 13514 | 14485 | 3317 | 7308 | 23913 |
| Chile | 500 | 750 | 4100 | 1400 | 2214 | 300 | 1750 | 1785 | 1350 |
| Colombia | - | 792 | 1120 | - | 1601 | 1300 | 2000 | 1510 | 2850 |
| Costa Rica | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 250 | - |
| Dominican Republic | - | 750 | - | 284 | - | - | 500 | 250 | - |
| El Salvador | - | - | 450 | - | 654 | - | - | - | - |
| Guatemala | - | - | - | - | - | - | 150 | - | 200 |
| Honduras | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jamaica | 775 | - | - | 300 | 400 | - | - | 294 | 250 |
| Mexico | 9916 | 7826 | 5376 | 3764 | 6700 | 4685 | 6044 | 4331 | 9520 |
| Panama | - | - | - | - | 502 | - | 395 | - | 300 |
| Paraguay | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - |
| Peru | 350 | 200 | 1543 | 2600 | 1000 | - | 350 | 805 | 2825 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | - | - | - | - | 175 | - | - | - | - |
| Uruguay | - | - | - | - | - | 693 | - | 1000 | - |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | - | - | 3000 | - | - | - | 4200 | 3000 | - |
| Supranational issues | 50 | - | 600 | 876 | 1227 | 124 | 675 | 639 | 837 |
| Foreign Trade Bank of Latin America | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 400 |
| Caribbean Development Bank | - | - | - | - | - | - | 175 | - | - |
| Central American Bank for Economic Integration | - | - | - | 151 | - | - | - | - | 250 |
| Andean Development Corporation | 50 | - | 600 | 725 | 477 | 124 | 500 | 139 | 187 |
| NII Holdings | - | - | - | - | 750 | - | - | 500 | - |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the International Monetary Fund, Merrill-Lynch and JP Morgan and Latin Finance.
a Includes sovereign, bank and corporate bonds.

Table A-10
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: STOCK EXCHANGE INDICES
(National indices to end of period, 31 December 2005=100)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | $\frac{2012}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| Argentina | 153.8 | 141.6 | 171.3 | 228.3 | 219.5 | 217.8 | 159.6 | 159.6 | 173.9 |
| Brazil | 210.3 | 182.1 | 207.5 | 207.2 | 205.0 | 186.5 | 156.4 | 169.6 | 192.8 |
| Chile | 191.6 | 206.9 | 244.1 | 250.8 | 235.4 | 244.1 | 197.9 | 212.7 | 237.8 |
| Colombia | 127.4 | 130.9 | 154.6 | 162.9 | 152.1 | 147.9 | 135.8 | 133.1 | 158.1 |
| Costa Rica | 132.7 | 128.6 | 121.7 | 118.1 | 116.6 | 133.8 | 131.9 | 120.9 | 123.4 |
| Ecuador | 109.3 | 111.9 | 122.5 | 125.6 | 129.0 | 125.7 | 126.6 | 128.0 | 130.4 |
| Jamaica | 82.3 | 82.6 | 80.0 | 81.5 | 82.8 | 84.8 | 87.8 | 91.2 | 87.4 |
| Mexico | 186.9 | 175.0 | 187.2 | 216.5 | 210.3 | 205.4 | 188.2 | 208.3 | 222.0 |
| Peru | 315.0 | 291.2 | 372.1 | 486.7 | 457.2 | 393.1 | 381.7 | 405.5 | 491.7 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 76.6 | 77.5 | 77.0 | 78.3 | 81.7 | 89.0 | 92.4 | 94.9 | 94.8 |
| Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) | 286.1 | 319.5 | 320.1 | 320.4 | 344.8 | 394.2 | 488.4 | 573.9 | 979.3 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of information from Bloomberg.

Table A-11
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: FISCAL BALANCE
(End-of-period stocks, local currencies)

|  | Coverage ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Argentina | NNFPS | Millions of pesos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 86963 | 104482 | 115355 | 131485 | 115747 | 137656 | 150428 | 150915 | 155987 |
|  | Total expenditure ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 88031 | 103217 | 110477 | 133492 | 116095 | 139477 | 153540 | 176296 | 161099 |
|  | Primary balance | 3471 | 7617 | 9865 | 4162 | 4846 | 6047 | 1269 | -7 241 | 2169 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational | NFPS | Millions of bolivianos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State of) | Total revenue | 12910 | 14947 | 16333 | 17383 | 16182 | 17651 | 20221 | 21559 | 19021 |
|  | Total expenditure | 13279 | 12681 | 14609 | 18688 | 13041 | 16387 | 18046 | 26759 | 14593 |
|  | Primary balance | 184 | 2756 | 2284 | -687 | 3489 | 1837 | 2742 | -4 694 | 4931 |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | CG | Millions of reales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue | 193566 | 205538 | 202798 | 243064 | 231391 | 248621 | 243581 | 266814 | 263304 |
|  | Total expenditure ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 216039 | 216283 | 239067 | 251193 | 246558 | 266481 | 271737 | 292659 | 277665 |
|  | Primary balance | 8134 | 16763 | -1 077 | 23073 | 25709 | 30285 | 19297 | 18233 | 33854 |
| Chile | TCG | Millions of pesos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue | 5306104 | 6639416 | 5494211 | 6380538 | 6487273 | 7277321 | 6576098 | 6516732 | 7511116 |
|  | Total expenditure | 5346843 | 5733408 | 5678883 | 7514149 | 5127733 | 5817300 | 6052943 | 8066351 | 5965498 |
|  | Primary balance | 138642 | 983410 | 14723 | -1 052658 | 1541320 | 1485142 | 730251 | -1510 746 | 1855352 |
| Colombia | NCG | Billions of pesos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue | 19517 | 19607 | 19665 | 16152 | 20577 | 29994 | 24133 | 19526 | 22066 |
|  | Total expenditure | 21837 | 24221 | 21567 | 26584 | 19714 | 26871 | 26283 | 34010 | 24216 |
|  | Primary balance | 207 | -494 | 2888 | -7626 | 3126 | 7996 | 2761 | -10 894 | 512 |
| Costa Rica | CG | Millions of colones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue | 643733 | 622644 | 666482 | 810322 | 671467 | 716268 | 720243 | 916451 | 756791 |
|  | Total expenditure | 905142 | 764127 | 873212 | 1182098 | 987650 | 850220 | 939745 | 1092179 | 1072227 |
|  | Primary balance | -102 427 | -104 727 | -49 672 | -323 024 | -150 426 | -71742 | -70 814 | -103 005 | -159 457 |
| Ecuador | NFPS | Millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue | 5813 | 5876 | 5481 | 6016 | 7081 | 8413 | 8051 | 7950 | ... |
|  | Total expenditure | 4898 | 5897 | 5835 | 7493 | 6774 | 7521 | 7565 | 10310 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Primary balance | 4840 | 5759 | 5760 | 7350 | 6700 | 7361 | 7481 | 10114 | ... |
| Guatemala | CA | Millions of quetzales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue | 8917 | 8862 | 9492 | 10154 | 10731 | 10419 | 10681 | 11347 | 11366 |
|  | Total expenditure | 10107 | 10761 | 12701 | 14816 | 11050 | 12956 | 13345 | 16160 | 10480 |
|  | Primary balance | 8834 | 9650 | 11382 | 13580 | 9633 | 11775 | 11801 | 14826 | 8897 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | PS | Millions of pesos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue | 735851 | 673492 | 688281 | 862820 | 773757 | 749727 | 783145 | 963002 | 861624 |
|  | Total expenditure | 733301 | 785956 | 760548 | 1054143 | 779693 | 875421 | 840989 | 1133746 | 911600 |
|  | Primary balance | 34960 | -26 131 | -42 240 | -98 060 | 21030 | -28 855 | -27 048 | -66 839 | -14 065 |
| Peru | CG | Millions of nuevos soles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue | 18635 | 20056 | 17978 | 18791 | 21555 | 24238 | 21055 | 21578 | 23952 |
|  | Total expenditure | 16109 | 17300 | 19964 | 21883 | 17364 | 22118 | 20161 | 24453 | 17669 |
|  | Primary balance | 4103 | 3543 | -467 | -2 210 | 5871 | 2980 | 2585 | -1888 | 7953 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | CG | Thousands of Trinidad and Tobago dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue | 9665533 | 12738087 | 11777625 | 10219363 | 9799829 | 14050923 | 12902304 | 12803217 | 11461726 |
|  | Total expenditure | 9830070 | 9293874 | 13285779 | 9392831 | 10833820 | 12616809 | 15297581 | 9845776 | 11668761 |


|  | Coverage ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| Uruguay | NFPS | Millions of pe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total revenue | 59531 | 55856 | 58454 | 61622 | 63122 | 62478 | 66869 | 67820 | 68921 |
|  | Total expenditure | 62784 | 53177 | 60272 | 66312 | 63616 | 64034 | 64873 | 74807 | 87218 |
|  | Primary balance | 2285 | 7068 | 3935 | -99 | 5379 | 3395 | 9046 | -1 649 | -12279 |
| Venezuela | CG | Thousands of | ivares |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Plurinational | Total revenue | 33549 | 38941 | 39795 | 53075 | 44234 | 56063 | 65849 | 68406 | $\ldots$ |
| State of) ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Total expenditure | 44474 | 49747 | 56951 | 78920 | 60965 | 65409 | 84817 | 142109 | $\ldots$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ The acronyms for the coverage correspond to: CA, Central administration; NNFPS, National non-financial public-sector; NFPS, Non-financial public-sector CG, Central government; TCG, Total central government; CNG, Central national government; GG, General government and PS: Public sector.
${ }^{c}$ Includes figurative contributions.
${ }^{d}$ Includes figurative expenditure.
${ }^{e}$ Includes federal government and central bank.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Includes transfers to states and municipalities.
${ }^{g}$ Revenues and expenditures do not include extrabudgetary items
${ }^{h}$ Excluding extraordinary revenues and expenditures.

Table A-12
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN (SELECTED COUNTRIES): CENTRAL-GOVERNMENT TAX REVENUE ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(End-of-period stocks, local currencies)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | 1 |
| Argentina (millions of pesos) | 61642 | 83917 | 80288 | 83974 | 83615 | 107806 | 105662 | 108831 | 106897 |
| Barbados (millions of Barbados dollars) | 672316 | 520926 | 461259 | 577719 | 659305 | 559219 | 572117 | 561577 | .. |
| Brazil (millions of reales) | 134533 | 138935 | 137527 | 161104 | 166700 | 175541 | 173503 | 182561 | 188071 |
| Chile (millions of pesos) | 4102239 | 4871715 | 4147947 | 4455813 | 5121788 | 5637845 | 5070885 | 5270684 | 5790528 |
| Colombia (Billions of pesos) | 17332 | 18195 | 19090 | 15573 | 19328 | 27859 | 21702 | 17680 | 21840 |
| Costa Rica (millions of colones) | 618746 | 546555 | 598002 | 728349 | 635880 | 639564 | 652037 | 841859 | 718189 |
| Dominican Republic (millions of pesos) | 56800 | 63290 | 61735 | 62118 | 62149 | 72203 | 68079 | 70701 | ... |
| El Salvador (millions of dollars) | 710 | 916 | 697 | 748 | 832 | 1048 | 785 | 821 | 890 |
| Guatemala (millions of quetzales) | 8473 | 8237 | 8750 | 9312 | 10205 | 9724 | 10092 | 10272 | 10827 |
| Haiti (millions of gourdes) | 2740 | 5138 | 5560 | 6368 | 6283 | 5883 | 6080 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (millions of pesos) | 368806 | 314491 | 310608 | 320377 | 385266 | 337715 | 335274 | 378637 | 405279 |
| Panama (millions of dollars) | 738 | 787 | 825 | 962 | 1003 | 935 | 863 | 904 | 1321 |
| Paraguay (millions of guaraníes) | 2280460 | 3057599 | 2957652 | 3090188 | 2771654 | 3498043 | 3546224 | 3364406 | 2846000 |
| Peru (millones de nuevos soles) | 15489 | 17289 | 15480 | 16203 | 18516 | 20492 | 17940 | 18589 | 20877 |
| Uruguay (millions of pesos) | 36024 | 35865 | 35506 | 38978 | 40912 | 40172 | 41952 | 44941 | 46593 |
| (Variation from same quarter of preceding year, in real terms) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | 1 |
| Argentina | 3.5 | 14.3 | 12.6 | 8.8 | 11.0 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.4 |
| Barbados | -16.1 | -5.1 | -3.9 | 0.0 | -9.0 | -1.4 | 12.3 | -12.0 | $\ldots$ |
| Brazil | 11.8 | 15.4 | 14.4 | -0.5 | 16.8 | 18.5 | 17.8 | 6.2 | 6.6 |
| Chile | 18.0 | 77.3 | 21.5 | 13.9 | 21.3 | 12.0 | 18.6 | 13.7 | 8.6 |
| Colombia | 6.0 | -12.3 | 1.5 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 48.6 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| Costa Rica | 1.2 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 4.7 | -1.8 | 11.5 | 3.6 | 10.3 | 8.4 |
| Dominican Republic | -0.5 | 3.9 | 11.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 0.4 | 5.0 |  |
| El Salvador | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 14.4 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 2.2 |
| Guatemala | 4.6 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 3.2 | 14.6 | 11.2 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 1.1 |
| Haiti | -48.6 | -8.8 | 12.0 | 1.6 | 116.7 | 5.6 | -0.4 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10.2 | 14.4 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 14.2 | 1.2 |
| Panama | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 116.4 | 29.2 | 11.6 | -0.9 | -11.6 | 23.9 |
| Paraguay | 23.0 | 17.0 | 15.0 | 22.0 | 11.3 | 4.6 | 10.0 | 3.1 | -1.3 |
| Peru | 18.4 | 25.5 | 22.9 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 14.9 | 12.0 | 9.8 | 8.2 |
| Uruguay | 5.0 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 5.6 |

[^18]Table A-13
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: NON-FINANCIAL PUBLIC SECTOR GROSS PUBLIC DEBT
(Millions of dollars)

|  |  | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | 1 |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total | 151766 | 156691 | 160890 | 164331 | 173147 | 176600 | 175324 | ... | ... |
|  | Domestic | 97258 | 96287 | 98244 | 103185 | 109836 | 112700 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
|  | External | 54509 | 60404 | 62646 | 61145 | 63311 | 63900 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... |
| Bolivia (Plurinational | Total | 6898 | 7025 | 2838 | 7514 | 7569 | 7733 | 7606 | 8260 | 8127 |
| State of) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Domestic | 4293 | 4394 | $\ldots$ | 4561 | 4543 | 4624 | 4614 | 4746 | 4494 |
|  | External | 2604 | 2631 | 2838 | 2953 | 3026 | 3109 | 2992 | 3514 | 3633 |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Total | 776403 | 778250 | 846201 | 886166 | 925920 | 988384 | 799126 | 804515 | 844451 |
|  | Domestic | 951903 | 962696 | 1049053 | 1102145 | 1172812 | 1257065 | 1083323 | 1091683 | 1144982 |
|  | External | -175 500 | -184 445 | -202 852 | -215979 | -246 892 | -268 682 | -284 198 | -287 168 | -300 530 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Total | 24629 | 26115 | 31495 | 34946 | 35753 | 37654 | 38188 | 41660 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Domestic | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | - ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
|  | External | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | - ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Total | 121702 | 123808 | 130819 | 126230 | 130239 | 134965 | 131252 | 130811 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Domestic | 88459 | 89422 | 95739 | 92121 | 94045 | 98714 | 93711 | 93396 | ... |
|  | External | 33243 | 34385 | 35080 | 34109 | 36194 | 36250 | 37541 | 37415 | ... |
| Costa Rica | Total | 11163 | 11586 | 12686 | 13292 | 13758 | 14476 | ... | 15545 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Domestic | 7843 | 8254 | 8848 | 9426 | 10179 | 10905 | ... | 11629 | ... |
|  | External | 3319 | 3331 | 3838 | 3866 | 3579 | 3571 | $\ldots$ | 3916 | $\ldots$ |
| Dominican Republic ${ }^{9}$ | Total | 13182 | 13840 | 14162 | 14818 | 14708 | 14865 | 15452 | 16593 | 17090 |
|  | Domestic | 5006 | 4873 | 5095 | 4871 | 4559 | 4561 | 4496 | 4968 | 4943 |
|  | External | 8176 | 8967 | 9067 | 9947 | 10149 | 10304 | 10956 | 11625 | 12147 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total | 10285 | 11118 | 13326 | 13204 | 13321 | 13020 | 13062 | 14492 | 14823 |
|  | Domestic | 2838 | 3467 | 4833 | 4665 | 4553 | 4371 | 4482 | 4506 | 4823 |
|  | External | 7447 | 7651 | 8493 | 8539 | 8768 | 8649 | 8580 | 9986 | 10000 |
| El Salvador ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total | 9055 | 9094 | 9306 | 9669 | 10362 | 10376 | 10068 | 10204 | 10326 |
|  | Domestic | 2890 | 2938 | 3025 | 3090 | 3470 | 3539 | 3386 | 3484 | 3652 |
|  | External | 6165 | 6157 | 6281 | 6579 | 6892 | 6837 | 6682 | 6721 | 6674 |
| Guatemala ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total | 9034 | 9454 | 9727 | 10149 | 10713 | 10874 | 11091 | 11374 | 11534 |
|  | Domestic | 3806 | 4034 | 4314 | 4587 | 5190 | 5343 | 5616 | 5770 | 6006 |
|  | External | 5228 | 5420 | 5412 | 5562 | 5523 | 5531 | 5475 | 5605 | 5528 |
| Haiti ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | Total | 2473 | 2054 | 1898 | 1534 | 1500 | 1591 | 1762 | 1771 | 1848 |
|  | Domestic | 1006 | 1043 | 1104 | 1180 | 1082 | 1042 | 1105 | 1062 | 1010 |
|  | External | 1467 | 1011 | 794 | 353 | 418 | 549 | 657 | 709 | 838 |
| Honduras | Total | 3379 | 3456 | 3579 | 3905 | 3990 | 4279 | 4387 | 4808 | 4885 |
|  | Domestic | 1081 | 1165 | 1169 | 1216 | 1304 | 1476 | 1566 | 1739 | 1714 |
|  | External | 2299 | 2292 | 2410 | 2688 | 2686 | 2802 | 2821 | 3069 | 3172 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Total | 338958 | 343464 | 353722 | 359749 | 378928 | 392526 | 359353 | 363757 | 404893 |
|  | Domestic | 242185 | 247247 | 252964 | 249321 | 268743 | 281396 | 245884 | 247337 | 283811 |
|  | External | 96773 | 96216 | 100758 | 110428 | 110185 | 111130 | 113469 | 116420 | 121081 |
| Nicaragua | Total | 2690 | 2720 | 2781 | 2918 | 2943 | 3060 | 3020 | 3112 | 3135 |
|  | Domestic | 882 | 903 | 899 | 910 | 888 | 930 | 894 | 900 | 871 |
|  | External | 1809 | 1817 | 1882 | 2007 | 2056 | 2130 | 2127 | 2213 | 2264 |



Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary figures.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Consolidated figures.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Refers to the external debt of the non-financial public-sector and central-government domestic debt
${ }^{d}$ Net public debt. Public sector.
${ }^{e}$ Consolidated debt.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Consolidated non-financial public sector
${ }^{9}$ Public sector.
${ }^{n}$ Does not include public sector commitments to commercial banks.
${ }^{i}$ Includes public sector external debt and federal government domestic debt.

Table A-14
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: TOTAL GROSS EXTERNAL DEBT ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Millions of dollars)

|  |  | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{2012^{b}}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| Argentina | Total | 115904 | 121513 | 127809 | 128993 | 132757 | 137288 | 138732 | 139715 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Public | 61934 | 63817 | 68850 | 69489 | 70642 | 71421 | 72459 | 72992 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Private | 53970 | 57697 | 58959 | 59504 | 62115 | 65867 | 66273 | 66723 | $\ldots$ |
| Bolivia (Plurinational | Total | 5688 | 5698 | 5769 | 5875 | 5874 | 5936 | 5799 | 6287 | $\ldots$ |
| State of) | Public | 2703 | 2767 | 2902 | 3059 | 3102 | 3185 | 3059 | 3585 | 3702 |
|  | Private | 2985 | 2931 | 2867 | 2815 | 2772 | 2751 | 2740 | 2702 | $\ldots$ |
| Brazil | Total | 211532 | 228594 | 247812 | 256804 | 275947 | 291648 | 298219 | 298204 | 297947 |
|  | Public | 79016 | 79693 | ... | 82847 | ... | 78281 | 76559 | 77300 | 83969 |
|  | Private | 132516 | 148901 | ... | 173957 | ... | 213367 | 221660 | 220904 | 213978 |
| Chile | Total | 73578 | 77090 | 83839 | 86738 | 91542 | 93465 | 94696 | 98579 | 99864 |
|  | Public | 13739 | 13958 | 15899 | 17408 | 17558 | 19043 | 19199 | 20647 | 20493 |
|  | Private | 59839 | 63132 | 67940 | 69330 | 73984 | 74422 | 75497 | 77932 | 79371 |
| Colombia | Total | 53899 | 55771 | 61893 | 64723 | 67833 | 68877 | 71955 | 75859 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Public | 36492 | 37738 | 38586 | 39546 | 39779 | 39627 | 41411 | 42769 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Private | 17407 | 18033 | 23307 | 25177 | 28054 | 29250 | 30545 | 33091 | ... |
| Costa Rica | Total | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
|  | Public | 8091 | 8230 | 8863 | 9123 | 9119 | 9043 | 9498 | 10311 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Private | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Ecuador | Total | 13601 | 13746 | 14386 | 13895 | 14061 | 13911 | 13851 | 15181 | 15205 |
|  | Public | 7623 | 7823 | 8662 | 8622 | 8702 | 8664 | 8584 | 9973 | 10097 |
|  | Private | 5978 | 5923 | 5725 | 5273 | 5360 | 5247 | 5267 | 5208 | 5108 |
| El Salvador | Total | 9489 | 9392 | 9445 | 9698 | 10019 | 10102 | 9819 | 10032 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Public | 6418 | 6443 | 6529 | 6831 | 7302 | 7212 | 7073 | 7142 | 7141 |
|  | Private | 3071 | 2949 | 2916 | 2867 | 2717 | 2890 | 2746 | 2890 | $\ldots$ |
| Guatemala ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
|  | Public | 5228 | 5420 | 5412 | 5562 | 5523 | 5531 | 5475 | 5605 | 5528 |
|  | Private | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Haiti ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
|  | Public | 1467 | 1011 | 794 | 353 | 418 | 549 | 657 | 709 | 838 |
|  | Private | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Honduras | Total | 3334 | 3263 | 3310 | 3773 | 3843 | 3911 | 3892 | 4182 | 4444 |
|  | Public | 2443 | 2431 | 2552 | 2831 | 2830 | 2943 | 2959 | 3202 | 3304 |
|  | Private | 891 | 832 | 758 | 942 | 1013 | 968 | 933 | 980 | 1140 |
| Mexico | Total | 169870 | 171794 | 183748 | 196702 | 198440 | 206096 | 206496 | 209820 | ... |
|  | Public | 96773 | 96216 | 100758 | 110428 | 110185 | 111130 | 113469 | 116420 | ... |
|  | Private | 73097 | 75578 | 82991 | 86274 | 88255 | 94967 | 93027 | 93399 | $\ldots$ |


|  |  | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | 2012 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV |  |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
|  | Public | 3655 | 3660 | 3733 | 3876 | 3931 | 3989 | 3979 | 4073 | 4122 |
|  | Private | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | .. | $\cdots$ | . | $\ldots$ | ... | ... |
|  | Public | 10142 | 10152 | 10175 | 10439 | 10592 | 10618 | 10739 | 10910 | 10834 |
|  | Private | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Perú | Total | 36141 | 36551 | 41249 | 43674 | 44876 | 46040 | 47354 | 47544 | 51987 |
|  | Public | 20110 | 20280 | 22042 | 22980 | 23231 | 23208 | 24012 | 24275 | 25930 |
|  | Private | 16031 | 16271 | 19206 | 20694 | 21645 | 22832 | 23342 | 23269 | 26058 |
| Uruguay | Total | 13956 | 13649 | 14200 | 14468 | 14904 | 15588 | 15379 | 14418 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Public | 12719 | 12384 | 12981 | 12825 | 13178 | 13855 | 13564 | 13452 | ... |
|  | Private | 1238 | 1265 | 1219 | 1643 | 1726 | 1733 | 1815 | 966 | $\ldots$ |
| Venezuela (Plurinational State of) | Total | 69454 | 66966 | 78011 | 80831 | 83226 | 91228 | 92009 | 95602 | 100672 |
|  | Public | 57186 | 55693 | 67887 | 71228 | 73601 | 81138 | 81603 | 84594 | 88742 |
|  | Private | 12268 | 11273 | 10124 | 9603 | 9625 | 10090 | 10406 | 11008 | 11930 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the International Monetary Fund and national sources.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes debt owed to the International Monetary Fund.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Refers to external public debt.

Table A-15
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: MONETARY INDICATORS
(Variation from same quarter of preceding year)

|  |  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | II | III | IV | 1 | 11 | III | IV |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda | Monetary base | -3.2 | -1.8 | -0.3 | 9.0 | 19.2 | 20.6 | 26.3 | 14.9 | .. |
|  | Money (M1) | -17.0 | -7.8 | -0.9 | -3.5 | -4.0 | -9.8 | -10.4 | -3.0 | $-5.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | M2 | -6.2 | -3.1 | -1.5 | -2.0 | -1.5 | -2.0 | -2.3 | 1.8 | $1.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 1.6 | -4.1 | -4.0 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 6.8 | -1.9 | $22.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Argentina | Monetary base | 17.3 | 21.9 | 28.3 | 32.2 | 35.6 | 39.2 | 38.9 | 34.8 | 31.7 |
|  | Money (M1) | 19.5 | 24.4 | 30.5 | 33.0 | 60.3 | 60.9 | 57.0 | 56.9 | 28.4 |
|  | M2 | 15.9 | 26.5 | 36.0 | 37.8 | 55.3 | 52.0 | 47.7 | 44.0 | 30.1 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 32.5 | 44.6 | 23.7 | 42.6 | 27.2 | 2.1 | 15.3 | -5.0 | -8.4 |
| Bahamas | Monetary base | -3.2 | -0.3 | 0.3 | 14.0 | 35.4 | 26.2 | 35.2 | 11.9 | ... |
|  | Money (M1) | -1.9 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.4 | ... |
|  | M2 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | ... |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 13.5 | 4.9 | -8.7 | -7.0 | -8.8 | -4.1 | 2.8 | -0.3 | ... |
| Barbados | Monetary base | 4.5 | 3.0 | 6.5 | -0.4 | 6.2 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 7.4 | -4.5 |
|  | Money (M1) | 1.5 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 4.4 | -12.5 | $-19.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | M2 | -3.1 | 0.1 | -0.4 | -0.9 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | -6.1 | $-8.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Belize | Monetary base | 8.9 | -8.6 | -2.5 | -2.1 | -6.4 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 16.4 | 19.0 |
|  | Money (M1) | 2.9 | -4.1 | 0.1 | -2.6 | 0.4 | 7.3 | 12.2 | 16.9 | 21.1 |
|  | M2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | Monetary base | 54.2 | 51.6 | 25.7 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 14.2 | 28.2 | 19.6 |
|  | Money (M1) | 24.3 | 26.6 | 23.4 | 22.3 | 27.6 | 27.1 | 29.9 | 24.7 | $18.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | M2 | $39.1$ | 39.6 | 31.8 | 29.4 | 31.2 | 32.0 | 38.0 | 34.6 | $30.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | $16.5$ | 7.8 | 1.1 | -4.7 | -13.3 | -13.4 | -13.3 | -11.0 | $-3.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Brazil | Monetary base | 14.1 | 13.3 | 22.4 | 19.6 | 19.8 | 14.5 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 8.3 |
|  | Money (M1) | 17.0 | 17.2 | 19.1 | 15.9 | 12.0 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
|  | M2 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 10.8 | 15.1 | 18.8 | 21.9 | 22.6 | 20.5 | 16.8 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Chile | Monetary base | 16.8 | 18.1 | 17.2 | 18.8 | 14.6 | 13.8 | 12.8 | 17.3 | 14.4 |
|  | Money (M1) | 31.1 | 32.0 | 28.8 | 23.6 | 16.1 | 11.0 | 8.1 | 10.3 | 10.1 |
|  | M2 | -1.5 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 8.6 | 15.9 | 20.6 | 21.9 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -14.9 | 2.1 | 15.1 | 28.7 | 24.7 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 3.9 | 9.1 |
| Colombia | Monetary base | 10.8 | 13.7 | 11.5 | 13.7 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 17.2 | 14.4 | 10.0 |
|  | Money (M1) | 11.2 | 14.3 | 16.1 | 16.9 | 17.9 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 8.0 |
|  | M2 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 10.9 | 13.4 | 15.8 | 18.8 | 18.8 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Costa Rica | Monetary base | 6.7 | 14.8 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 13.3 | 11.4 | 9.6 | 12.3 | 10.0 |
|  | Money (M1) | 3.2 | 9.1 | 11.2 | 14.7 | 19.5 | 20.3 | 21.9 | 15.6 | $15.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | M2 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 12.4 | 11.9 | $10.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 8.1 | 1.7 | -7.5 | -8.6 | -5.0 | -7.5 | -8.3 | -0.6 | $-3.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Dominica | Monetary base | 11.1 | 14.9 | 1.2 | 11.9 | 5.0 | -2.2 | 15.7 | 16.3 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 1.4 | 1.6 | -5.1 | -3.7 | -4.2 | -4.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | $1.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | M2 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 3.6 | $2.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -0.8 | 40.9 | 9.7 | 71.9 | 75.9 | 42.6 | 48.4 | 9.1 | $33.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Dominican Republic | Monetary base | 2.0 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 10.1 |
|  | Money (M1) | 18.9 | 24.0 | 17.5 | 10.8 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 4.4 |
|  | M2 | 13.3 | 14.8 | 13.7 | 10.3 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 12.1 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 19.0 | 19.2 | 21.4 | 21.8 | 18.6 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 17.9 | 20.8 |
| Ecuador | Monetary base | 21.8 | 28.7 | 26.2 | 20.2 | 4.1 | 11.6 | 13.2 | 10.5 | 20.1 |
|  | Money (M1) | 11.5 | 14.7 | 19.3 | 18.8 | 17.0 | 17.1 | 16.3 | 12.1 | 13.8 |
|  | M2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 19.2 | 20.7 | 21.3 | 19.0 | 20.8 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| El Salvador | Monetary base | 1.7 | -1.5 | -2.8 | 4.3 | -4.2 | -4.8 | 6.1 | -2.3 | 3.8 |
|  | Money (M1) | 20.0 | 17.1 | 20.4 | 18.6 | 8.8 | 12.3 | 17.2 | 4.5 | 6.3 |
|  | M2 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | -2.8 | -3.8 | -1.7 | -3.4 | -1.0 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Grenada | Monetary base | 3.6 | 6.2 | 10.2 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 9.4 | 12.7 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 1.5 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 5.0 | -11.0 | -8.2 | -3.9 | -4.7 | $-3.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | M2 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 3.1 | -0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.4 | $0.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 12.0 | -4.6 | -11.0 | -11.2 | -17.7 | -9.7 | 2.2 | 6.0 | $3.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Guatemala | Monetary base | 12.6 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 10.0 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 3.8 |
|  | Money (M1) | 6.8 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 7.6 |
|  | M2 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 9.9 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 18.9 | 13.9 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 0.8 |


|  |  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | 1 |
| Guyana | Monetary base | 16.8 | 13.2 | 17.0 | 23.3 | 21.6 | 20.3 | 16.8 | 11.7 | 10.2 |
|  | Money (M1) | 10.0 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 18.9 | 21.3 | 20.5 | 24.8 | 21.2 | 16.5 |
|  | M2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Haiti | Monetary base | 17.3 | 33.8 | 43.2 | 41.4 | 36.8 | 22.6 | 11.3 | 6.6 | 4.1 |
|  | Money (M1) | 15.4 | 26.6 | 29.2 | 32.5 | 21.4 | 16.8 | 10.5 | 4.6 | 3.5 |
|  | M2 | 8.9 | 16.6 | 20.1 | 23.6 | 17.6 | 13.2 | 7.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 13.9 | 23.4 | 25.4 | 26.5 | 30.0 | 20.4 | 14.2 | 11.5 | 8.4 |
| Honduras | Monetary base | -16.0 | -28.2 | -9.3 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 15.7 | 12.2 | 9.4 | 12.3 |
|  | Money (M1) | 3.5 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 10.2 | 19.4 | 19.0 | 19.8 | 13.1 | 10.2 |
|  | M2 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 6.6 | 10.2 | 18.4 | 19.3 | 17.1 | 13.9 | 10.0 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 9.4 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 10.6 | 8.0 | 10.8 | 14.8 |
| Jamaica | Monetary base | 7.9 | 8.4 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 9.1 | 7.9 | 7.2 |
|  | Money (M1) | 16.2 | 11.6 | 15.9 | 12.4 | 7.4 | 10.2 | 4.8 | 9.4 | 6.7 |
|  | M2 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 4.1 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 4.8 | -0.1 | -3.0 | -5.3 | -7.7 | -4.9 | -4.3 | -2.2 | 2.5 |
| Mexico | Monetary base | 9.6 | 8.0 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 10.6 | 8.9 | 10.7 | 12.4 |
|  | Money (M1) | 8.5 | 10.0 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 15.1 | 16.2 | 16.6 | 16.8 | 15.1 |
|  | M2 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 11.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 11.5 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 11.1 | 6.3 | -2.4 | -9.7 | -5.0 | 2.0 | 5.6 | 10.1 | 17.5 |
| Nicaragua | Monetary base | 26.0 | 21.1 | 27.5 | 21.8 | 17.6 | 22.2 | 20.3 | 21.8 | 25.3 |
|  | Money (M1) | 19.9 | 21.4 | 20.7 | 23.2 | 25.0 | 24.7 | 25.4 | 24.3 | 26.3 |
|  | M2 | 19.9 | 21.4 | 20.7 | 23.2 | 25.0 | 24.7 | 25.4 | 24.3 | 26.3 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 20.2 | 29.4 | 30.0 | 23.6 | 12.6 | 6.6 | 3.2 | 9.7 | 19.8 |
| Panama | Monetary base | 1.3 | 1.0 | 10.2 | 16.6 | 25.4 | 35.4 | 28.2 | 21.0 | 15.1 |
|  | Money (M1) | 19.9 | 18.2 | 17.3 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 19.8 | 24.8 | 20.7 | 17.7 |
|  | M2 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 9.7 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 11.1 | 9.8 | 9.1 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Paraguay | Monetary base | 10.7 | 2.2 | 8.3 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 11.1 | 12.9 |
|  | Money (M1) | 36.3 | 33.3 | 28.8 | 18.7 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 9.3 |
|  | M2 | 32.4 | 29.9 | 25.9 | 19.1 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 14.7 | 15.5 | 16.2 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 10.9 | 10.8 | 16.8 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 17.1 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 10.1 |
| Peru | Monetary base | 12.3 | 19.1 | 25.5 | 38.6 | 37.1 | 36.8 | 30.9 | 23.1 | 28.6 |
|  | Money (M1) | 22.7 | 29.5 | 30.0 | 29.6 | 23.8 | 19.4 | 20.8 | 16.1 | 17.2 |
|  | M2 | 20.5 | 24.4 | 32.6 | 32.9 | 27.5 | 21.7 | 15.6 | 12.3 | 18.0 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -6.3 | -0.6 | 0.7 | 6.3 | 10.6 | 18.7 | 14.6 | 12.7 | 7.6 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | Monetary base | 9.2 | -8.5 | -17.5 | 8.2 | 19.4 | 22.4 | 55.2 | 49.3 | $\ldots$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 9.3 | 17.5 | 15.9 | 31.6 | 19.6 | 13.6 | 37.7 | 33.5 | $21.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | M2 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 7.1 | 11.5 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 13.3 | 12.4 | $10.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -9.0 | -13.2 | -9.4 | -3.8 | -1.5 | 3.9 | -1.9 | -4.1 | $-0.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | Monetary base | 3.0 | 8.5 | -4.6 | 7.7 | 19.9 | 22.1 | 18.3 | 5.0 |  |
|  | Money (M1) | -3.5 | -5.3 | -5.4 | -2.7 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.5 | $3.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | M2 | 0.7 | -0.3 | -1.1 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 6.5 | $4.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -8.9 | -8.8 | -26.2 | -8.9 | 6.2 | 17.2 | 25.5 | 21.1 | $24.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | Monetary base | 4.3 | 3.3 | 24.4 | 18.4 | 16.8 | -5.4 | -6.2 | -1.0 | ... |
|  | Money (M1) | 0.9 | 3.3 | -2.6 | -3.7 | -3.5 | -5.5 | -2.2 | -4.1 | $-6.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | M2 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.0 | $-2.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -23.1 | -12.0 | -1.5 | 15.7 | 21.2 | 31.6 | 39.4 | 34.7 | $12.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Suriname | Monetary base | 27.8 | 17.9 | 17.1 | 14.5 | 7.9 | 1.4 | -5.7 | 4.4 | 15.4 |
|  | Money (M1) | ... | ... | ... | 17.5 | 15.1 | 4.2 | -1.7 | 2.7 | 6.9 |
|  | M2 | ... | ... | ... | 16.2 | 14.0 | 7.9 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 10.5 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 3.7 | 27.0 | 43.2 | 42.0 | 38.5 | 18.9 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | Monetary base | 25.3 | 34.5 | 28.9 | 13.0 | 10.3 | 6.6 | 16.9 | 21.9 | $24.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Money (M1) | 38.0 | 33.9 | 26.8 | 9.8 | 17.5 | 17.8 | 14.7 | 18.7 | 18.5 |
|  | M2 | 23.4 | 20.1 | 19.6 | 9.8 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 10.5 | 11.5 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 36.6 | 14.3 | -1.3 | -11.5 | -15.9 | -7.0 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
| Uruguay | Monetary base | 8.9 | -4.2 | 16.4 | 31.1 | 22.9 | 37.8 | 16.7 | 17.8 | 28.1 |
|  | Money (M1) | 19.7 | 22.0 | 26.4 | 29.7 | 20.3 | 20.8 | 19.3 | 18.2 | 20.8 |
|  | M2 | 19.9 | 22.6 | 29.6 | 32.0 | 27.6 | 29.3 | 25.8 | 23.1 | 20.8 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | -5.0 | -5.8 | 2.4 | 9.9 | 11.2 | 6.8 | 3.3 | 7.5 | 6.5 |
| Venezuela (Plurinational State of) | Monetary base | 29.0 | 22.5 | 24.5 | 22.3 | 20.9 | 24.8 | 20.8 | 40.4 | 41.6 |
|  | Money (M1) | 23.8 | 25.8 | 27.6 | 32.3 | 35.4 | 40.2 | 44.8 | 56.3 | 59.1 |
|  | M2 | 19.9 | 17.0 | 14.6 | 20.6 | 26.1 | 33.1 | 38.6 | 50.2 | 54.1 |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Countries of the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union | Monetary base | 4.8 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 10.0 | 14.7 | 13.8 | 19.2 | 15.4 | ... |
|  | Money (M1) | -4.4 | -9.4 | -8.1 | -6.5 | -3.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.5 | $-1.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | M2 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.2 | $1.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Foreign-currency deposits | 14.3 | 17.8 | 15.5 | 6.1 | -7.2 | -9.5 | -7.4 | 1.2 | $0.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figure as of January.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Figure as of February.

Table A-16

## LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: DOMESTIC CREDIT

(Variation from same quarter of preceding year)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Antigua and Barbuda | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | -3.6 | -3.7 | -5.2 | -6.3 | -1.7 | $-3.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Argentina | 32.8 | 50.4 | 56.1 | 63.4 | 74.2 | 59.9 | 59.1 | 49.3 | 31.0 |
| Bahamas | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 2.9 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | $\ldots$ |
| Barbados | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | -1.2 | -2.4 | -1.9 | -1.0 | 2.8 | $11.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Belice | 3.4 | -1.1 | -1.0 | -2.4 | -2.8 | -0.6 | -1.0 | -2.0 | -1.1 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 11.2 | 12.0 | 13.6 | 14.9 | 16.6 | 17.5 | 19.5 | 21.2 | $\ldots$ |
| Brazil | 12.6 | 20.0 | 21.3 | 20.4 | 19.9 | 18.6 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 17.6 |
| Chile | -1.2 | 0.9 | -0.4 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 15.5 | 17.3 | 20.2 |
| Colombia | 11.0 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 16.3 | 24.2 | 25.0 | 25.8 | ... |
| Costa Rica | 7.2 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 13.9 | 16.3 | $15.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Dominica | 11.2 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 15.2 | 14.2 | 12.2 | $10.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Dominican Republic | 12.8 | 15.4 | 16.6 | 11.1 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 2.7 | 10.2 | 13.3 |
| Ecuador | 16.8 | 22.3 | 44.4 | 50.6 | 39.3 | 36.6 | 31.9 | 21.4 | 26.7 |
| El Salvador | -7.4 | -3.2 | -3.5 | -3.9 | -5.6 | -6.8 | 0.5 | 7.3 | 13.9 |
| Grenada | 5.2 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 3.5 | $5.1{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Guatemala | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 12.4 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 15.3 | 14.2 |
| Guyana | -6.2 | -13.7 | 0.5 | 18.5 | 16.3 | 31.1 | 42.7 | 46.9 | 45.8 |
| Haiti | -7.2 | -13.7 | -37.3 | -33.0 | -29.3 | -25.1 | -3.5 | -2.9 | -3.7 |
| Honduras | 13.3 | 13.7 | 9.0 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 9.5 | 12.3 | 16.0 | 18.8 |
| Jamaica | 3.6 | -6.2 | 1.2 | -11.5 | -11.6 | -5.2 | -5.2 | 6.7 | 10.4 |
| Mexico | 8.4 | 9.1 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 11.3 | 12.3 | 11.4 | 10.3 | 11.0 |
| Nicaragua | -9.1 | -4.5 | -1.2 | -0.1 | -6.9 | -13.2 | -10.4 | 1.4 | 11.8 |
| Panama | 2.4 | 6.8 | 10.7 | 17.8 | 17.6 | 15.2 | 21.0 | 20.7 | 19.6 |
| Paraguay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 24.7 | 34.1 | 41.8 | 42.5 | 38.1 | 29.9 | 23.4 | 23.7 | 23.0 |
| Peru | 29.8 | 27.8 | 22.8 | 16.5 | 16.3 | 7.9 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 8.8 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 3.6 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 2.7 | -3.3 | -4.1 | $-7.3^{\text {a }}$ |
| Saint Lucia | 0.4 | 0.4 | -0.7 | -1.2 | -0.4 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 6.1 | $4.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 6.3 | 4.7 | 1.5 | -6.3 | -9.8 | -6.9 | -7.1 | -4.8 | $-1.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Suriname | 20.2 | 31.5 | 22.0 | 15.4 | 21.9 | 17.4 | 12.8 | 9.3 | 3.6 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 70.8 | 37.6 | 32.3 | 18.1 | 18.5 | 11.3 | 10.7 | -2.0 | -3.5 |
| Uruguay | 1.5 | 6.3 | 10.6 | 38.6 | 44.1 | 41.3 | 37.7 | 37.3 | 27.5 |
| Venezuela (Plurinational State of) | 16.1 | 13.5 | 8.6 | 16.7 | 25.4 | 31.9 | 40.0 | 44.9 | $50.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Figure as of January.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Credit granted to the private sector by the banking sector.

Table A-17
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: MONETARY POLICY RATES
(Average quarterly rates)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | II | III | IV | I | II | III | IV | I |
| Antigua y Barbuda | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Argentina | 13.6 | 11.8 | 12.2 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| Bahamas | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Barbados | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Belice | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| Brazil | 8.6 | 9.4 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 10.2 |
| Chile | 0.5 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.0 |
| Colombia | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| Costa Rica | 9.0 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Dominica | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Dominican Republic | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| Granada | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Guatemala | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Guyana | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 |
| Haiti | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Honduras | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.8 |
| Jamaica | 10.2 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Mexico | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Paraguay | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 6.5 |
| Peru | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Saint Lucia | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Uruguay | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.8 |
| Venezuela (Plurinational State of) | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

Table A-18
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: REPRESENTATIVE LENDING RATES
(Average quarterly rates)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | 11 | III | IV | 1 | II | III | IV | I |
| Antigua y Barbuda ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.4 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 10.2 | ... |
| Argentina ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 15.9 | 15.2 | 15.0 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 15.8 | 25.3 | 21.4 |
| Bahamas ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.6 | 10.7 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 10.3 |
| Barbados ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.6 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 9.0 | $8.7^{\text {c }}$ |
| Belice ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 12.8 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 5.8 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| Brazil ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 37.4 | 37.7 | 40.0 | 39.0 | 41.2 | 42.1 | 41.2 | 38.3 | 37.7 |
| Chile ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 12.4 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 12.4 |
| Colombia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.9 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 12.9 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 19.9 | 20.0 | 19.3 | 18.2 | 18.1 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 17.3 | 17.8 |
| Cuba ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Dominica ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.8 | $\ldots$ |
| Dominican Republic ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 9.0 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 10.6 | 13.2 | 13.7 | 13.7 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
| El Salvador ${ }^{j}$ | 8.5 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| Granada ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10.7 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.3 | ... |
| Guatemala ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.5 | 13.4 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 13.5 |
| Guyana ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.2 | 15.0 | 14.5 | 14.9 | 14.5 | 14.7 |
| Haiti ${ }^{1}$ | 19.4 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 20.3 | 19.4 | 19.7 | 19.9 | 19.9 |
| Honduras ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 19.0 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 18.9 | 19.0 | 18.8 | 18.3 | 18.2 | 18.2 |
| Jamaica ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 21.4 | 21.1 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 18.4 |
| Mexico ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.7 |
| Nicaragua ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 13.9 | 14.6 | 13.1 | 11.7 | 11.0 | 9.5 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.0 |
| Panama ${ }^{\circ}$ | 8.3 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.0 |
| Paraguay ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.7 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 13.6 | 15.5 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 15.8 |
| Peru ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 19.7 | 19.2 | 18.2 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.1 | ... |
| Saint Lucia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.7 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 9.0 | ... |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.1 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 9.1 | $\ldots$ |
| Suriname ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 11.6 |
| Trinidad and Tobago ${ }^{k}$ | 9.7 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| Uruguay ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 13.0 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.9 |
| Venezuela (Plurinational State of) ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 18.6 | 17.8 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 17.5 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 16.7 | 16.0 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Weighted average of the system lending rates.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Local-currency loans at fixed or renegotiable rates, signature loans of up to 89 days
${ }^{c}$ Figure as of February.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Nominal local-currency rate for 60-91-day operations.
${ }^{e}$ Preset lending rates for legal persons.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Lending rates for 90-360 days, non-adjustable operations.
${ }^{9}$ Average lending rate.
${ }^{n}$ Corporate lending rate in convertible pesos.
${ }^{i}$ Effective benchmark lending rate for the corporate commercial segment.
${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ Basic lending rate for up to 1 year.
${ }^{k}$ Prime lending rate.
${ }^{1}$ Average of minimum and maximum lending rates.
${ }^{m}$ Weighted average rate of private debt issues of up to 1 year, expressed as a 28 -day curve Includes only stock certificates.
${ }^{n}$ Short-term loans rate, weighted average.

- Interest rate on 1-year trade credit.
${ }^{p}$ Market lending rate, average for transactions conducted in the last 30 business days
${ }^{q}$ Average bank lending rate in local currency.
${ }^{r}$ Business credit, 30-367 days.
${ }^{s}$ Average rate for loan operations for the six major commercial banks.

Table A-19
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: GROSS INTERNATIONAL RESERVES
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | 1 | II | III | IV | I |
| Latin America and the Caribbean | 570198 | 586563 | 629559 | 655857 | 699906 | 735773 | 760193 | 773114 | 796878 |
| Antigua and Barbuda ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 89 | 102 | 101 | 136 | 128 | 129 | 148 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Argentina | 47460 | 49240 | 51125 | 52145 | 51298 | 51695 | 48590 | 46376 | 47291 |
| Bahamas | 819 | 862 | 765 | 861 | 975 | 1076 | 979 | 897 | $888{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Barbados | 836 | 787 | 843 | 805 | 824 | 806 | 796 | 805 | 790 |
| Belice | 203 | 218 | 215 | 216 | 207 | 224 | 235 | 242 | 243 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 8449 | 8537 | 9058 | 9730 | 10485 | 10751 | 11408 | 12018 | 12746 |
| Brazil | 243762 | 253114 | 275206 | 288575 | 317146 | 335775 | 349708 | 352012 | 365216 |
| Chile | 25631 | 25175 | 26446 | 27864 | 31481 | 34884 | 37840 | 41979 | 39551 |
| Colombia | 25140 | 26026 | 26911 | 28464 | 29859 | 31204 | 32439 | 32303 | 33130 |
| Costa Rica ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4155 | 4065 | 4571 | 4627 | 4642 | 4843 | 4763 | 4756 | 4745 |
| Dominica ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 65 | 62 | 59 | 66 | 66 | 65 | 68 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Dominican Republic ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2738 | 2979 | 2722 | 3765 | 2990 | 2945 | 3419 | 4098 | 3459 |
| Ecuador ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4007 | 4104 | 4353 | 2622 | 3947 | 3841 | 3635 | 2958 | 3368 |
| El Salvador | 2608 | 2684 | 2533 | 2883 | 3250 | 3059 | 2665 | 2504 | 2652 |
| Granada ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 98 | 95 | 100 | 103 | 102 | 93 | 109 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| Guatemala ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5547 | 5848 | 5659 | 5954 | 6191 | 6383 | 6303 | 6188 | 6141 |
| Guyana | 608 | 677 | 708 | 780 | 782 | 779 | 805 | 798 | $\ldots$ |
| Haiti | 920 | 1063 | 1225 | 1283 | 1272 | 1332 | 1350 | 1343 | 1344 |
| Honduras ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2250 | 2264 | 2126 | 2775 | 3046 | 3109 | 2594 | 2880 | 3128 |
| Jamaica | 2414 | 2527 | 2790 | 2979 | 3435 | 3157 | 2949 | 2820 | 2639 |
| Mexico | 101606 | 105560 | 113688 | 120587 | 128261 | 133894 | 141088 | 149209 | 155949 |
| Nicaragua | 1485 | 1553 | 1567 | 1799 | 1715 | 1787 | 1711 | 1892 | 1932 |
| Panama ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3157 | 2814 | 2608 | 2843 | 2482 | 2650 | 1814 | 2234 | 1727 |
| Paraguay | 3855 | 3908 | 3996 | 4169 | 4377 | 4907 | 4881 | 4984 | 4804 |
| Peru | 35305 | 35382 | 42502 | 44150 | 46177 | 47195 | 48109 | 48859 | 55551 |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 151 | 137 | 126 | 156 | 157 | 201 | 230 | ... | ... |
| Saint Lucia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 167 | 179 | 154 | 182 | 204 | 212 | 166 | ... | ... |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 84 | 93 | 79 | 111 | 91 | 82 | 84 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Suriname ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 642 | 639 | 655 | 639 | 683 | 779 | 779 | 941 | $825{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 8788 | 9111 | 9086 | 9070 | 9144 | 9738 | 9346 | 9823 | 9885 |
| Uruguay | 8061 | 7509 | 7914 | 7743 | 7755 | 9768 | 10221 | 10302 | 11285 |
| Venezuela (Plurinational State of) | 29186 | 29351 | 29768 | 27911 | 26864 | 28540 | 31109 | 29892 | 27590 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
a Net international reserves.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Balance as of January.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Serie corresponding to the harmonized monetary and financial statistics.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Freely available International reserves.
${ }^{e}$ Does not include gold.
${ }^{f}$ Balance as of February.

Table A-20
LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN: REAL EFFECTIVE EXCHANGE RATES ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(Indices 2005=100)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | $2011{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | $2012{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | II | III | IV | 1 | II | III | IV | I |
| Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 87.1 | 84.7 | 84.1 | 84.2 | 83.2 | 82.9 | 82.5 | 82.7 | 80.9 |
| Argentina | 101.2 | 97.6 | 96.8 | 98.6 | 98.9 | 101.5 | 102.0 | 98.4 | 99.3 |
| Barbados | 90.1 | 88.6 | 89.2 | 89.3 | 87.6 | 87.2 | 85.7 | 83.6 | 83.3 |
| Bolivia (Plurinational State of) | 87.5 | 87.8 | 88.6 | 88.6 | 86.7 | 88.8 | 87.7 | 84.1 | 84.4 |
| Brazil | 72.9 | 70.9 | 69.9 | 68.6 | 66.9 | 65.0 | 66.2 | 70.8 | 68.7 |
| Chile | 97.0 | 97.8 | 94.9 | 92.0 | 93.3 | 92.9 | 93.4 | 98.3 | 93.5 |
| Colombia | 81.5 | 80.8 | 76.8 | 80.3 | 80.7 | 79.1 | 79.2 | 83.0 | 77.4 |
| Costa Rica | 86.2 | 81.3 | 79.8 | 79.5 | 78.8 | 80.1 | 80.2 | 79.1 | 78.9 |
| Dominica | 104.7 | 105.7 | 108.0 | 108.6 | 108.9 | 110.0 | 111.3 | 111.2 | 112.3 |
| Dominican Republic | 107.5 | 108.1 | 109.3 | 110.5 | 109.9 | 111.0 | 109.8 | 109.6 | 111.6 |
| Ecuador | 99.6 | 98.8 | 100.3 | 101.7 | 101.8 | 103.6 | 103.2 | 100.5 | 100.3 |
| El Salvador | 101.0 | 101.7 | 102.1 | 103.0 | 104.1 | 102.9 | 102.6 | 101.8 | 102.6 |
| Guatemala | 95.8 | 93.3 | 93.6 | 94.1 | 91.6 | 90.6 | 90.4 | 88.9 | 88.9 |
| Honduras | 86.9 | 86.0 | 85.4 | 85.2 | 85.6 | 86.0 | 84.7 | 83.9 | 84.2 |
| Jamaica | 102.3 | 99.1 | 96.8 | 96.0 | 96.3 | 97.0 | 96.4 | 95.1 | 95.3 |
| Mexico | 110.0 | 108.3 | 110.6 | 106.8 | 104.1 | 103.9 | 109.4 | 118.0 | 110.8 |
| Nicaragua | 99.2 | 100.3 | 102.4 | 102.8 | 104.4 | 106.7 | 107.4 | 106.2 | 106.9 |
| Panama | 98.5 | 97.8 | 97.4 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 99.5 | 98.8 | 95.9 | 95.4 |
| Paraguay | 76.3 | 77.0 | 78.6 | 79.8 | 73.7 | 67.7 | 66.3 | 70.8 | 72.2 |
| Peru | 94.6 | 93.1 | 92.9 | 96.0 | 96.1 | 98.6 | 96.5 | 92.3 | 91.6 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 82.9 | 77.8 | 74.8 | 77.1 | 78.4 | 81.2 | 79.0 | 76.4 | 74.6 |
| Uruguay | 77.3 | 76.8 | 81.2 | 79.6 | 78.0 | 76.4 | 75.4 | 76.1 | 74.2 |
| Venezuela (Plurinational State of) | 83.6 | 78.7 | 75.6 | 73.7 | 73.0 | 70.9 | 66.5 | 61.8 | 59.8 |

Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures from the International Monetary Fund and national sources.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Quarterly averages. A country's overall real effective exchange rate index is calculated by weighting its real bilateral exchange rate indices with each of its trading partners by each partner's share in the country's total trade flows in terms of exports and imports. The extraregional real effective exchange rate index excludes trade with other Latin American and Caribbean countries. A currency depreciates in real effective terms when this index rises and appreciates when it falls.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures, weighted by trade in 2010.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Simple average of the extraregional real effective exchange rate for 20 countries.
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[^0]:    Source: United Nations, World Economic Situation and Prospects 2012. Global Economic Outlook, New York, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, December 2011.
    ${ }^{a}$ Projections.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the basis of figures provided by the central banks of the respective countries.

[^2]:    2 January-February 2012 compared with the same period in 2011.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ In countries where automobile manufacturing is a major component of industrial activity, growth during the first quarter of 2012 compared with the first quarter of 2011 was virtually flat in Argentina, was off by 15\% in Brazil and rose by some $18 \%$ in Mexico.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ Simple average. The median rate of inflation, calculated as cumulative 12-month inflation to April 2012, is 4.5\%.

    5 As a yearly average, compared with 2010, in 2011 the price of gasoline rose $26.7 \%$ in Argentina, $7.2 \%$ in Brazil, $14 \%$ in Chile, $8.5 \%$ in Colombia, $8.7 \%$ in Mexico, $11 \%$ in Peru and $13.1 \%$ in Uruguay. In the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador and the Plurinational State of Bolivia, the price held steady with relation to 2010.
    6 Throughout 2010 and the first half of 2011, the impact of higher international food and fuel prices on domestic prices was cushioned by national currency appreciation in a number of countries.

[^5]:    7 Private consumption was $4.6 \%$ higher in the second half of 2011 than in the second half of 2010, and investment expanded $7.2 \%$ during the same period. In the first half of 2011 , private consumption was $6.4 \%$ higher than for the first half of 2010, and investment rose by $8.5 \%$.
    8 The report divides countries into four groups on the basis of economic structure (trade and financial) and geographic criteria: those with the highest degree of financial integration (Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico and Peru); agribusiness-product exporters in South America (Argentina, Paraguay and Uruguay); hydrocarbon exporters (Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Ecuador, Plurinational State of Bolivia and Trinidad and Tobago); Central America, Dominican Republic and Haiti (Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama); and the Caribbean not including Trinidad and Tobago (Barbados, Bahamas, Jamaica, Suriname, Guyana and members of the Organisation of Eastern Caribbean States (OECS)).

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ For a review of the trends in key labour variables in 2011, see ECLAC and International Labour Organization (ILO), "Labour productivity and distribution issues", The employment situation in Latin America and the Caribbean, No. 6, Santiago, Chile, May 2012.
    ${ }^{10}$ The countries of South America with information on the labour market for the first quarter of 2012 are Argentina, the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Uruguay.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico and Peru.
    ${ }^{12}$ Generally refers to employment covered by social and/or labour laws. Not all of this increase is from job creation, as it also reflects the formalization of existing jobs.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ Food prices in March 2012 were only $7.6 \%$ short of the record high of April 2011. See "FAO Food Price Index" [online] http://www.fao.org/worldfoodsituation/wfs-home/foodpricesindex/en/.
    14 The price of Brent oil.

[^9]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.

[^10]:    ${ }^{16}$ For further details, see ECLAC (2012) The reactions of the Governments of the Americas to the international crisis: follow-up to policy measures adopted up to 31 December 2011.
    ${ }^{17}$ Nevertheless, to curb short-term capital inflows Brazil extended the $6 \%$ tax on foreign loans in March 2012 to include those with terms up to three years (those maturing in up to two years were already taxed) and subsequently to borrowings with a duration of up to five years.

[^11]:    18 In Peru, such measures brought deposits in foreign currency down from $57.5 \%$ of total deposits in the first quarter of 2009 to $44.8 \%$ in the first quarter 2012.

[^12]:    19 Appreciation during the first quarter of 2012 is a change from the real appreciation seen in Brazil, Chile, Colombia and Mexico in the third and fourth quarters of 2011.
    ${ }^{20}$ Antigua and Barbuda, Dominica, Grenada, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines and Saint Lucia are members of the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union. Belize, Bahamas and Barbados have fixed exchange rates.

[^13]:    ${ }^{21}$ Peru's exports to the European Union will also exceed $15 \%$ of the total, but they were not included in table 13 because figures were not available.

[^14]:    22 For an examination of the economies of the region according to channels of transmission of the European crisis, see ECLAC, Preliminary Overview of the Economies of Latin America and the Caribbean, 2011 (LC/G.2512-P), Santiago, Chile, 2011, box I.1.

[^15]:    23 The goal of stabilizing the public-debt-to-GDP ratio at $40 \%$ was chosen because the conventional standard for more developed countries is $60 \%$, although a number of them have higher levels of public-sector indebtedness.

[^16]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The only English-speaking Caribbean countries included are Barbados, Jamaica and Trinidad and Tobago.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Twelve-month variation to January 2012.
    ${ }^{c}$ Refers to national-currency markets.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Twelve-month variation to November 2011.

[^17]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Unemployed population as a percentage of the economically active population. Unemployment rate refers to open unemployment, except for Barbados, Colombia, Ecuador and Trinidad and Tobago, where it includes hidden unemployment.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Employed population as a percentage of the working-age population.
    ${ }^{c}$ Preliminary figures.

[^18]:    Source: Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), on the basis of official figures.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Does not include social security contributions.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Preliminary figures.
    ${ }^{c}$ Does not include petroleum tax revenues.

